arXiv:1101.1687v1 [math.AG] 9 Jan 2011

CRYSTAL BASES AND NEWTON-OKOUNKOV BODIES

KIUMARS KAVEH

ABSTRACT. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group. We prove that the string parametrization of a crystal basis for a finite dimensional irreducible representation of G coincides with a natural valuation on the field of rational functions on the flag variety G/B, constructed out of a sequence of (translated) Schubert varieties, or equivalently a coordinate system on a Bott-Samelson variety. This shows that the string polytopes associated to irreducible representations, can be realized as Newton-Okounkov bodies for the flag variety. This fully generalizes an earlier result of A. Okounkov for the Gelfand-Cetlin polytopes of the symplectic group. As another corollary we deduce a multiplicativity property of the canonical basis due to P. Caldero. We generalize the results to spherical varieties. From these the existence of SAGBI bases for the homogeneous coordinate rings of flag and spherical varieties, as well as toric degenerations for them follow.

Key words: string polytope, Gelfand-Cetlin polytope, crystal basis, string parametrization, flag variety, Bott-Samelson variety, spherical variety, Newton-Okounkov body, SAGBI basis, toric degeneration.

Subject Classification: 14M15, 05E10, 14M27.

CONTENTS

Introduction 1
1. Valuations and Newton-Okounkov bodies 5
2. Schubert and Bott-Samelson varieties 9
3. Crystal bases and their string parametrization 12
4. Main result 16
5. Proof of multiplicativity property of dual canonical basis 19
6. SAGBI bases, valuations and toric degenerations 21
7. Spherical varieties 23
References 26

INTRODUCTION

Let G be a complex connected reductive algebraic group. In this paper we make a connection between the theory of crystal bases for the irreducible representations of G and their string parameterizations, and the geometry of the flag variety of G. More precisely, we show that the string parametrization of a crystal basis for an irreducible representation of G coincides with a natural geometric valuation on the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(G/B)$,

---

Date: January 11, 2011.

constructed out of a sequence of (translated) Schubert varieties.[1] This realization of the string parametrization provides a new point of view on crystal bases and we expect it to make many of the properties of crystal bases more transparent. As an example, we readily deduce a multiplicativity property of the dual canonical basis for the covariant algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]^U$ due to P. Caldero.

The motivation for the main result of the paper goes back to a result of A. Okounkov who showed that when $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$, the set of integral points in the Gelfand-Cetlin polytope of an irreducible representation of G can be identified with the collection of initial terms of element of this representation regarded as polynomials on the open cell in the flag variety ([Ok98]).

Let V_λ be a finite dimensional irreducible representation of G with highest weight λ. There are remarkable bases for V_λ, consisting of weight vectors, called *crystal bases* which combinatorially encode the action of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ ([Kash90]). Crystal bases play a fundamental role in the representation theory of G. Also there is a nice parametrization of the elements of a crystal basis, called the *string parametrization*, by integral points in a certain polytope in $\mathbb{R}^N$, where $N = \dim(G/B)$ is the number of positive roots ([Litt98], [B-Z01], see also Section 3.2). This parametrization depends on a choice of a reduced decomposition for the longest element w_0 in the Weyl group, i.e., an N-tuple of simple roots $\underline{w_0} = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_N})$ with

$$w_0 = s_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdots s_{\alpha_{i_N}}.$$

The polytope associated to V_λ and a reduced decomposition $\underline{w_0}$ is called a *string polytope*. We denote it by $\Delta_{\underline{w_0}}(\lambda)$. The number of integral points in the polytope $\Delta_{\underline{w_0}}(\lambda)$ is equal to $\dim(V_\lambda)$. The string polytopes are generalizations of the well-known Gelfand-Cetlin polytopes of representations of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ ([G-C50]).

On the other hand, following the pioneering works of A. Okounkov in [Ok96] and [Ok03], the author and A. G. Khovanskii ([K-Kh09], [K-Kh08]), as well as Lazarsfeld and Mustata ([L-M08]), developed a theory of convex bodies associated to linear series on algebraic varieties, and more generally to graded algebras. Let X be a d-dimensional algebraic variety with the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(X)$. Given a graded algebra $A = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} A_k$ with $A_k \subset \mathbb{C}(X)$, and a valuation $v : \mathbb{C}(X) \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{Z}^d$, they construct a convex body $\Delta(A, v) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, called the *Newton-Okounkov body of* A, such that the asymptotic growth of the Hilbert function of A is given by the dimension and volume of $\Delta(A, v)$. In this paper we show that string polytopes are special cases of Newton-Okounkov bodies for $X = G/B$.

Imitating the construction of initial term of a polynomial in several variables, one can construct a valuation on the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(X)$ from a system of parameters at a smooth point $p \in X$ and a lexicographic order on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. This construction can be generalized to construct a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-valued valuation on $\mathbb{C}(X)$ from a sequence

$$\{p\} = Y_d \subset \cdots \subset Y_0 = X,$$

of closed irreducible normal subvarieties of X where $\mathrm{codim}(Y_k) = k$ (Section 1.1). Let X be projective and L an ample line bundle on X. Consider the ring of sections:

$$R(L) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes k}).$$

Similar constructions as above give a valuation v on the graded algebra $R(L)$, and a convex body $\Delta(R(L), v) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. From Hilbert's theorem (on the degree of a projective subvariety) it follows that the degree of L (i.e. the self-intersection of the divisor class of L) is given by the volume of $\Delta(R(L))$.

---

[1] We regard the elements of the irreducible representation as polynomials on the open cell in G/B and hence rational functions on G/B.

Now let $X = G/B$ be the flag variety of G. Let $X_w \subset X$ denote the Schubert variety corresponding to a Weyl group element w. Also let $Y_w = w_0 w^{-1} X_w$ denote the Schubert variety of w translated by $w_0 w^{-1}$, where w_0 is the longest element in W. A reduced decomposition $\underline{w}_0 = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_N})$, $w_0 = s_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdots s_{\alpha_{i_N}}$, gives rise to two sequences of subvarieties of G/B:

$$\{o\} = X_{w_N} \subset \cdots \subset X_{w_0} = X,$$
$$\{w_0 o\} = Y_{w_N} \subset \cdots \subset Y_{w_0} = X,$$

where $w_k = s_{\alpha_{i_{k+1}}} \cdots s_{\alpha_{i_N}}$, and $o = eB$ is the unique B-fixed point in X. We will be interested in the sequence $Y_\bullet$ of translated Schubert varieties. This sequence, as mentioned above, gives us a valuation $v_{\underline{w}_0}$ on the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(X)$ as well as on the rings of sections of line bundles on X. (Note that the Schubert varieties and hence the translated Schubert varieties are irreducible and normal.) Any dominant weight λ gives a G-linearized line bundle L_λ on X and one knows that the space of sections $H^0(X, L_\lambda)$ is isomorphic to the dual representation V_λ^*.

The main result of the paper is the following (Theorem 4.1):

Theorem 1. *The string parametrization for a dual crystal basis in V_λ^* coincides with the valuation $v_{\underline{w}_0}$ on $H^0(X, L_\lambda)$. It follows that the string polytope $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)$ coincides with the Newton-Okounkov body of the algebra of sections $R(L_\lambda)$ and the valuation $v_{\underline{w}_0}$.*

It is well-known that the algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]^U$ of unipotent invariants on G decomposes as:

$$\mathbb{C}[G]^U = \bigoplus_\lambda V_\lambda^*.$$

Moreover, there is a natural basis $\mathcal{B}^*$ for this algebra such that $\mathcal{B}_\lambda^* = \mathcal{B}^* \cap V_\lambda^*$ is a dual crystal basis for V_λ^*. In fact, for each λ, $\mathcal{B}_\lambda^*$ is the dual basis of the basis of V_λ consisting of the nonzero bv_λ, where b lies in the specialization at $q = 1$ of the Kashiwara-Lusztig canonical basis. We will refer to $\mathcal{B}^*$ as the *dual canonical basis*. We observe that from the defining properties of a valuation and Theorem 1 the following multiplicativity result (due to Caldero) readily follows (Corollary 5.2 and [Cal02, Section 2]):

Corollary 1. *Let λ, μ be two dominant weights and b'^*, b''^* dual canonical basis elements in $\mathcal{B}_\lambda^*$ and $\mathcal{B}_\mu^*$ respectively. Then the product $b'^* b''^* \in V_{\lambda+\mu}^* \subset \mathbb{C}[G]^U$ can be uniquely written as*

$$b'^* b''^* = cb^* + \sum_j c_j b_j^*,$$

where b^* and the b_j^* are in $\mathcal{B}_{\lambda+\mu}^*$, $\iota_{\underline{w}_0}(b^*) = \iota_{\underline{w}_0}(b'^*) + \iota_{\underline{w}_0}(b''^*)$, and $\iota_{\underline{w}_0}(b_j^*) < \iota_{\underline{w}_0}(b'^*) + \iota_{\underline{w}_0}(b''^*)$ whenever $c_j \neq 0$ (with respect to the lexicographic order). Here $\iota_{\underline{w}_0}$ is the string parametrization map (Section 3.2).

Recall that a variety X is *spherical* if a Borel subgroup (and hence any) has a dense orbit. Equivalently, X is spherical if for any G-linearized line bundle, the space of sections is a multiplicity-free G-module. Flag varieties are spherical.

Let X be a projective G-variety together with a G-linearized very ample line bundle L. Consider the ring of sections $R(L) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$. It is a graded G-algebra. To (X, L) one associates a polytope $\mu(X, L) \subset \Lambda_\mathbb{R}^+$, called the *moment polytope*, which encodes information about the asymptotic of irreducible representations appearing in $R(L)$ (see Section 7).

Let X be a normal projective spherical G-variety. Fix a reduced decomposition $\underline{w}_0 = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_N})$. In [Ok97] (motivated by a question of A. G. Khovanskii) and in [A-B04], the authors associate a larger polytope $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(X, L)$ to (X, L) defined by:

$$\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(X, L) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mu(X,L)} \{(\lambda, x) \mid x \in \Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)\}.$$

$\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(X, L)$ has the property that its volume gives the degree of the line bundle L (i.e. the self-intersection index of the divisor class of L). This resembles (and generalizes) the Newton polytope of a toric variety. In Section 7 we show that (Corollary 7.5 and Corollary 7.10):

Theorem 2. *Both polytopes $\mu(X, L)$ and $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(X, L)$ can be realized as Newton-Okounkov bodies for the ring of sections $R(L)$ with respect to certain natural valuations.*

Fix a total order $<$ on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ respecting addition. Let A be a subalgebra of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. A subset $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in A$ is called a *SAGBI basis* for A (Subalgebra Analogue of Gröbner Basis for Ideals) if the set of initial terms of the f_i (with respect to $<$) generates the semigroup of initial terms in A (in particular this semigroup is finitely generated). Given a SAGBI basis for A one can represent each $f \in A$ as a polynomial in the f_i via a simple classical algorithm (known as *subduction algorithm*). There are not many examples of subalgebras known to have a SAGBI basis. It is an important unsolved problem to determine which subalgebras have a SAGBI basis.

We generalize the notion of SAGBI basis to the context of valuations on graded algebras in Section 6. In Section 7 we see that (Corollary 7.11):

Corollary 2. *The ring of sections of any G-linearized very ample line bundle L on a projective spherical variety has a SAGBI basis. It follows that (X, L) can be degenerated to the toric variety (together with a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor) associated to the polytope $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(X, L)$.*

This recovers toric degeneration results in [A-B04], [Cal02] and [Kav05].

It is expected that the Gelfand-Cetlin and more generally the string polytopes carry a lot of information about the geometry of the flag variety (and more generally spherical varieties). In fact, there is a general philosophy that these polytopes play a role for the flag variety similar to the role of Newton polytopes for toric varieties. The results of this paper provide strong evidence in this direction. More evidence for this similarity is obtained in the recent works of V. Kiritchenko who made an interesting connection between the combinatorics of the faces of the Gelfand-Cetlin polytope and the Schubert calculus (in type A) [Kir09].

To make the paper more accessible and easier to read we have tried to include much of the background material (Sections 1, 2 and 3).

Acknowledgment: The author would like to thank Dave Anderson, Jim Carrell, Askold Khovanskii, Tatiyana Firsova, Macej Mizerski and Jochen Kuttler for helpful discussions. Moreover, I am thankful to Joel Kamnitzer who explained the key properties of crystal bases to me.

Notation: Throughout the paper we will use the following notation:

- G is a connected reductive algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$, B a Borel subgroup and T, U the maximal torus and maximal unipotent subgroups contained in B respectively.
- B^- and U^- are the opposite subgroups of B and U respectively.
- $\Phi = \Phi(X, T)$ denotes the root system with $\Phi^+ = \Phi^+(X, T)$ the subset of positive roots for the choice of B.

- $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$ denote the simple roots where r is the semi-simple rank of G.
- W is the Weyl group of (G, T). The simple reflection associated with a simple root α is denoted by s_α.
- w_0 is the unique longest element in W. N denotes the length of w_0 which is equal to the number of positive roots as well as the dimension of the flag variety G/B.
- E_α, F_α are the Chevalley generators for a root α, which are the generators for the root subspaces $\mathrm{Lie}(G)_\alpha$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{-\alpha}$ respectively.
- $U_\alpha = \{\exp(tE_\alpha) \mid t \in \mathbb{C}\}$, $U_\alpha^- = \{\exp(tF_\alpha) \mid t \in \mathbb{C}\}$ denote the root subgroups corresponding to a root α.
- Λ is the weight lattice of G, Λ^+ the subset of dominant weights and $\Lambda_\mathbb{R} = \Lambda \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R}$. The cone generated by Λ^+ is the positive Weyl chamber denoted by $\Lambda_\mathbb{R}^+$.
- V_λ denotes the irreducible G-module corresponding to a dominant weight λ. Also v_λ denotes a highest weight vector in V_λ.
- For a dominant weight λ, $-w_0\lambda$ is denoted by λ^*. It is dominant and $V_\lambda^* \cong V_{\lambda^*}$.
- $o = eB$ is the unique B-fixed point in the flag variety G/B.
- C_w, X_w denote the Schubert cell and the Schubert variety in G/B corresponding to $w \in W$ respectively.

An N-tuple of simple roots $\underline{w}_0 = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_N})$ is called a *reduced decomposition for the longest element* w_0 if $w_0 = s_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdots s_{\alpha_{i_N}}$.

1. Valuations and Newton-Okounkov bodies

1.1. **Valuations.**

Let V be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ and let Γ be a set with a total order $<$.

Definition 1.1 (Pre-valuation)**.** A function $v : V \setminus \{0\} \to \Gamma$ is a *pre-valuation with values in* Γ if:

(i) $v(f+g) \geq \min\{v(f), v(g)\}$, for all nonzero $f, g \in V$. [2] It follows that if $v(f) \neq v(g)$ then $v(f+g) = \min\{v(f), v(g)\}$.

(ii) $v(cf) = v(f)$, for all nonzero $f \in V$ and nonzero $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

For any $a \in \Gamma$ consider the quotient vector space,

$$F_a = \{f \mid v(f) \geq a\} / \{f \mid v(f) > a\}.$$

We call this the *leaf at* a. The pre-valuation v is said to have *one-dimensional leaves* if for any $a \in \Gamma$, the leaf F_a has dimension at most 1. Equivalently, v has one-dimensional leaves, if whenever $v(f) = v(g)$, for some $f, g \in V$, then there is $c \neq 0$ such that $v(g - cf) > v(g)$.

Next, let A be an algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and let Γ be a commutative semigroup totally ordered with an ordering $<$ respecting the semigroup operation (which we write additively).

Definition 1.2 (Valuation)**.** A pre-valuation on A with values in Γ is called a *valuation* if moreover it satisfies: $v(fg) = v(f) + v(g)$, for all nonzero $f, g \in A$.

Definition 1.3. Given an algebra A with a valuation v with values in Γ, it is easy to see that

$$S(A, v) = \{v(f) \mid f \in A \setminus \{0\}\},$$

is a semigroup in Γ. We call it the *value semigroup of the pair* (A, v).

---

[2] Some authors use the axiom $v(f+g) \leq \max\{v(f), v(g)\}$ instead. It is equivalent to ours by considering the reverse order on Γ.

Example 1.4. Let X be an algebraic curve over $\mathbb{C}$ with the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(X)$. Let p be a smooth point on X and for any $0 \neq f \in \mathbb{C}(X)$ define $v(f)$ to be the order of vanishing of f at p (zero or pole). Then v is a valuation (with one-dimensional leaves) on $\mathbb{C}(X)$ and with values in $\mathbb{Z}$ (with the usual ordering of numbers).

The previous example generalizes to higher dimensional varieties:

Example 1.5 (Gröbner valuation)**.** Let X be a d-dimensional variety over $\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{C}(X)$ its field of rational functions. Given a smooth point p on X and a regular system of parameters $u_1, \ldots, u_d$ in a neighborhood of p we can define a valuation v on $\mathbb{C}(X)$ with values in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ as follows: Fix an ordering on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Any regular function f at p can be written as a polynomial in the $u_1, \ldots, u_d$. Let $c_k f_1^{k_1} \cdots f_d{}^{k_d}$ be the term in f with the smallest exponent $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_d)$. Define $v(f) = (k_1, \ldots, k_d)$. Also for a rational function $h = f/g$ where f, g are regular functions at p, define $v(h) = v(f) - v(g)$. One verifies that v is a valuation on $\mathbb{C}(X)$ with values in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and with one-dimensional leaves. We call it the *lowest term valuation* with respect to the parameters u_i (and the order on $\mathbb{Z}^d$).

Similarly, given a regular function f at p, one can take the term $c_\ell f_1^{\ell_1} \cdots f_d^{\ell_d}$ in f with the largest exponent $\ell = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_k)$. Then $v(f) = (-\ell_1, \ldots, -\ell_d)$ defines a valuation on $\mathbb{C}(X)$ which we call the *highest term valuation* with respect to the parameters u_i (and the order on $\mathbb{Z}^d$).

Example 1.6 (Parshin valuation)**.** More generally, one can construct a valuation out of a flag subvarieties in X. Let

$$\{p\} = Y_d \subset \cdots \subset Y_0 = X$$

be a sequence of closed normal irreducible subvarieties in X with $\dim(Y_k) = d - k$ for any k. We call such a sequence a *sequence of normal subvarieties* or a *normal Parshin point* on the variety X. A collection $u_1, \ldots, u_d$ of rational functions on X represents a system of parameters about such a sequence if for each k, $u_{k|Y_k}$ is a well-defined not identically zero rational function on Y_k and has a zero of first order on the hypersurface Y_{k+1}. Given a sequence of normal subvarieties and a system of parameters $u_1, \ldots, u_d$, one defines a valuation v on $\mathbb{C}(X)$ with one-dimensional leaves and values in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ (ordered lexicographically): Take $0 \neq f \in \mathbb{C}(X)$, then $v(f) = (k_1, \ldots, k_d)$ where the k_i are defined as follows. k_1 is the order of vanishing of f on Y_1. Now $f_1 = (u_1^{-k_1} f)_{|Y_1}$ is a well-defined, not identically zero rational function on Y_1. Then k_2 is the order of vanishing of f_1 on Y_2 and so on for k_3 etc. (In fact, the assumption of normality of the Y_i is not crucial and one can avoid it by passing to the normalization.)

Remark 1.7. Example 1.5 is a special case of Example 1.6 where for each $k > 0$, we take Y_k to be the irreducible component of the zero locus of $\{u_1, \ldots, u_k\}$ containing p. Conversely, by taking an appropriate resolution of X at p and a suitable system of parameters in the resolution, one can realize the valuation constructed out of a sequence of subvarieties as a valuation coming from a system of parameters at a smooth point. In Section 2.2 (Theorem 2.5) we will see an important example of this situation.

Example 1.8 (Valuations on graded algebras)**.** Let F be a field extension of transcendence degree d over $\mathbb{C}$. Let v be a valuation on F with values in a totally ordered abelian group Γ. Let $A = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} A_k$ be a graded algebra such that all the graded pieces A_k are subspaces of F. In this case we can naturally extend v to a valuation $\tilde{v}$ on the algebra A and with values in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \Gamma$. first define an ordering on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ by $(k, x) > (\ell, y)$ if $k < \ell$ or $k = \ell$ and $x > y$

(note the reversing)). Next, let $f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} f_i$ be an element of A with $f_i \in A_i$, $\forall i$, and $f_s \neq 0$. Define

$$\tilde{v}(f) = (s, v(f_s)).$$

One verifies that $\tilde{v} : A \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \Gamma$ is a valuation. Moreover, if v has one-dimensional leaves on F then $\tilde{v}$ has one-dimensional leaves on A.

Example 1.9 (Valuations on ring of sections of a line bundle)**.** Let L be a line bundle on a projective variety X of dimension d. One defines the *ring of section of* L to be the graded ring

$$R(L) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes k}).$$

Let D be a (Cartier) divisor of the line bundle L. For each k, consider the linear subspace of rational functions

$$\mathcal{L}(kD) = \{f \mid (f) + kD > 0 \text{ or } f = 0\}.$$

Then the ring $R(L)$ can naturally be identified with the graded algebra

$$R(D) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(kD).$$

Suppose $H^0(X, L) \neq \{0\}$ and fix a section $0 \neq \tau \in H^0(X, L)$. Let $D = \mathrm{Div}(\tau)$ be the divisor of τ. Any section $\sigma \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$ can be written as $\sigma = f_\sigma \tau^k$ with $f_\sigma \in \mathbb{C}(X)$. Then the map $\sigma \mapsto f_\sigma$ identifies the space of sections $H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$ with $\mathcal{L}(kD)$, and the ring of sections $R(L)$ with $R(D)$.

Given a valuation v on $\mathbb{C}(X)$, as in Example 1.8, we get a valuation $\tilde{v}$ on the algebra $R(D)$ which, under the above identification, we can consider as a valuation on $R(L)$. On the other hand, given a sequence of subvarieties together with parameters, repeating the construction in Example 1.6 for sections, we can define a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-valued pre-valuation v on the subspaces $H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$ and a $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^d$-valued valuation on $R(L)$ which by abuse of notation we again denote by v and $\tilde{v}$ respectively. This valuation is related to the valuation on the algebra $R(D)$ as follows: for any $k > 0$ and $\sigma \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$, $v(\sigma) = v(f_\sigma) + kv(\tau)$. In particular, if τ is such that it does not vanish on $X_d = \{p\}$ then $v(\tau) = 0$. In this case we have $v(\sigma) = v(f_\sigma)$.

Next, we state some general facts about pre-valuations on vector spaces and valuations on algebras. For completeness we include the short proofs. Let v be a pre-valuation on a vector space V with values in a totally ordered set Γ.

Proposition 1.10. *Suppose $f_1, \ldots, f_s \in V$ are such that $v(f_1), \ldots, v(f_s)$ are distinct. Then: 1) The f_i are linearly independent. 2) If $f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_i f_i$ with $0 \neq c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ then $v(f) = \min\{v(f_1), \ldots, v(f_s)\}$.*

Proof. 1) Let $\sum_{i=1}^{s} f_i = 0$, be a nontrivial linear relation between the f_i. Let $\alpha_i = v(f_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, s$. Without loss of generality assume $c_1 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_s$. We can rewrite the linear relation as $c_1 f_1 = -\sum_{i=2}^{s} c_i f_i$. But this is not possible as $v(c_1 f_1) = \alpha_1$ while $v(-\sum_{i=2}^{s} c_i f_i) \geq \alpha_2$. This proves 1). 2) follows by induction on s and using the property (i) in the definition of pre-valuation. □

Proposition 1.11. *Let V be finite dimensional, moreover assume that the valuation v has one-dimensional leaves. 1) There exists a basis $\mathcal{B}$ for V such that all the $v(b)$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$ are distinct. 2) $\dim(V) = \#v(V \setminus \{0\})$.*

Proof. 1) Suppose $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \ldots, b_s\}$ is a basis for V with $v(b_1) \leq \cdots \leq v(b_s)$ and $v(b_1) \gneqq \cdots \gneqq v(b_t)$, for $1 \leq t \leq s$. We will construct another ordered basis $\mathcal{B}' = \{b'_1, \ldots, b'_s\}$ such that $v(b'_1) \leq \cdots \leq v(b'_s)$ and $v(b'_1) \gneqq \cdots \gneqq v(b'_{t+1})$. If $v(b_t) \neq v(b_{t+1})$ then just take $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}$. Otherwise, there is a scalar $c \neq 0$ such that $v(b_{t+1} - cb_t) \gneqq v(b_t)$. Now replace b_{t+1} with $b_{t+1} - cb_t$ and sort the new set in increasing order if necessary, to obtain the ordered basis $\mathcal{B}'$. Continuing this procedure we will arrive at a basis such that all the values of valuation on the basis are distinct. 2) Follows from 1) and Proposition 1.10(1). □

Let A be an algebra and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a vector space basis for A over $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover assume that the values v on $\mathcal{B}$ are all distinct. The following multiplicativity property is a straight forward corollary of properties of a valuation.

Proposition 1.12 (Multiplicativity property)**.** *For any two b', $b'' \in \mathcal{B}$, the element $b'b''$ can be written uniquely as*

$$b'b'' = cb + \sum_i c_i b_i,$$

where b and the $b_i \in \mathcal{B}$, c and the c_i are nonzero scalars, $v(b) = v(b') + v(b'')$ and $v(b_i) \gneqq v(b') + v(b'')$ for all i.

Proof. Follows directly from Proposition 1.10(2). □

In Section 5 we will see that the multiplicativity property of the so-called dual canonical basis for the covariant algebra $\mathbb{C}[G/U]$ of a reductive group G, is a special case of the above.

1.2. **Newton-Okounkov bodies.** In this section we consider valuations on graded algebras. We recall from [K-Kh09] the construction of a Newton-Okounkov body and the results related to it on the Hilbert function of graded algebras.

Let F be a field extension of $\mathbb{C}$ of transcendence degree d. Let $A = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} A_k$ be a graded algebra with $A_k \subset F$, for all k. The algebra A can be thought of as a graded subalgebra of the ring of polynomials in one variable and with coefficients in F. As in Example 1.8, if we fix a valuation v on F with values in a totally ordered free abelian group Γ, one naturally has a valuation $\tilde{v}$ on A with values in $\mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma$. We denote the real span of Γ, i.e. $\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{R}$, by $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$.

To $(A, \tilde{v})$ one associates the following objects:

- The semigroup $S = S(A, \tilde{v}) \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma$.
- The cone $C = C(A, \tilde{v}) \subset \mathbb{R} \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ generated by the semigroup $S = S(A, \tilde{v})$, i.e. the smallest closed convex cone centered at the origin and containing S.
- The subgroup $\Lambda(A, \tilde{v}) \subset \Gamma$ which is the intersection of the group generated by S with $\{0\} \times \Gamma \cong \Gamma$.

Definition 1.13 (Newton-Okounkov body)**.** Let $\Delta = \Delta(A, \tilde{v})$ be the slice of the cone C at $k = 1$ projected to $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$, via the projection on the second factor $(k, x) \mapsto x$. In other words:

$$\Delta = \Delta(A, \tilde{v}) = \overline{\mathrm{conv}(\bigcup_{k>0} \{x/k \mid (k, x) \in S\})}.$$

We call Δ the *Newton-Okounkov convex set of A*. It can be shown that if A is contained in a finitely generated graded algebra $B = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} B_k$ (with $B_k \subset F$ for all k) then Δ is bounded and hence a convex body. (Note that A itself need not necessarily be finitely generated, although all the examples of algebras we deal with in this paper will be finitely generated.)

Remark 1.14. Even when A is finitely generated, the semigroup S may not be finitely generated and the cone C may not be polyhedral. Thus, in general, the convex set Δ is not necessarily a polytope. Although in all the examples appearing in this paper, the Newton-Okounkov bodies are in fact polytopes.

The convex body Δ is responsible for the growth of the Hilbert function of A. Let $H_A(k) = \dim(A_k)$ denote the Hilbert function of A. More precisely we have (see [K-Kh09, Part II]):

Theorem 1.15. *The function $H_A(k)$ grows like $a_q k^q$ where q is the dimension of the convex set Δ. This means that the limit*

$$a_q = \lim_{k\to\infty} H_A(k)/k^q$$

exists and is nonzero. Moreover, the q-th growth coefficient a_q is equal to $\mathrm{Vol}_q(\Delta)$, where the volume is the volume in the subspace $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(A, \tilde{v})$ spanned by $\Lambda(A, \tilde{v})$ and normalized with respect to the lattice $\Lambda(A, \tilde{v})$, i.e. a fundamental domain for the lattice $\Lambda(A, \tilde{v})$ has volume 1.

Now let $X \subset \mathbb{CP}^m$ be a projective subvariety of dimension d. Fix a valuation v on $F = \mathbb{C}(X)$ with values in a totally ordered free abelian group Γ and with one-dimensional leaves. Moreover, assume that v is faithful, that is, $v(F \setminus \{0\} = \Gamma$. Let D be a hyperplane section divisor on X, and let $\tilde{v}$ be the corresponding valuation on the graded algebra $R(D)$ with values in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \Gamma$. From Theorem 1.15 and the Hilbert theorem on the degree of a projective variety one proves the following (see [K-Kh09, Part III]):

Corollary 1.16.
(1) *The degree of X in the projective space $\mathbb{C}P^m$ is equal to*

$$d!\mathrm{Vol}_d(\Delta(R(D), \tilde{v})),$$

where Vol_d is the Lebesgue measure in $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ normalized with respect to Γ, i.e. the smallest nonzero measure of a parallelepiped with vertices in Γ is 1.
(2) *Equivalently, let L be a very ample line bundle with a divisor D. Then the degree of L, i.e. the self-intersection number of the divisor D, is equal to $d!\mathrm{Vol}_d(\Delta(R(D), \tilde{v}))$.*

2. Schubert and Bott-Samelson varieties

2.1. Sequence of Schubert varieties associated to a reduced decomposition.

Let $\underline{w}_0 = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_N})$ be a reduced decomposition for the longest element $w_0 \in W$, that is

$$w_0 = s_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdots s_{\alpha_{i_N}},$$

where $N = \ell(w_0)$ and s_{α_j} is the simple reflection corresponding to a simple root α_j. For the rest of the paper we fix a reduced decomposition $\underline{w}_0$.

For $k = 0, \ldots, N$ let

$$w_k = s_{\alpha_{i_{k+1}}} \cdots s_{\alpha_{i_N}},$$

(w_N is the identity e). Since $\underline{w}_0$ is a reduced decomposition we have $\ell(w_k) = N - k$, and

$$e = w_N < w_{N-1} < \cdots < w_0,$$

in the Bruhat order. Let $X_k = X_{w_k}$ be the Schubert variety corresponding to $w_k \in W$. We have the sequence:

$$\{o\} = X_N \subset X_{N-1} \subset \cdots \subset X_0 = G/B,$$

with $\dim(X_k) = N - k$. One verifies that, for $k = 0, \ldots, N-1$, the Schubert variety X_k is invariant under $s_{\alpha_{i_{k+1}}}$, and hence under the opposite root subgroup $U^-_{\alpha_{i_{k+1}}}$. We denote by $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_{i_{k+1}}}$ the generating vector field of $F_{\alpha_{i_{k+1}}}$ on X_k. Also for each k, let $Y_k = w_0 w_k^{-1} X_k$ be the

Schubert variety of w_k translated by $w_0 w_k^{-1}$. Since X_k is invariant under $s_{\alpha_{i_{k+1}}}$, we see that $Y_{k+1} \subset Y_k$, for $k = 0, \dots N-1$. Thus we have a sequence:

$$\{w_0 o\} = Y_N \subset \cdots \subset Y_0 = G/B. \tag{1}$$

As the Schubert varieties are normal and irreducible, (1) gives a normal sequence of subvarieties in G/B.

In Section 2.2 we will construct a natural local system of parameters u_k for this sequence. By Example 1.6 this then defines a valuation on the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(G/B)$ which we denote by $v_{\underline{w}_0}$. As in Example 1.9, $v_{\underline{w}_0}$ extends to a valuation $\tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0}$ on the ring of sections $R(L) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(G/B, L^{\otimes k})$ for any line bundle L on G/B. The main result is that when $L = L_\lambda$ is the equivariant line bundle associated to a dominant weight λ, this valuation coincides with the string parametrization of the elements of a crystal basis of the dual representation V_λ^*.

2.2. **Bott-Samelson variety associated to a reduced decomposition.** For each reflection $s_\alpha \in W$ fix a representative $\dot{s}_\alpha \in N(T)$. For a simple root α let P_α denote the *minimal standard parabolic subgroup of* α, that is, the subgroup generated by B and $\dot{s}_\alpha$ (clearly it is independent of the choice of the representative $\dot{s}_\alpha$). One verifies that $P_\alpha = B \cup (\dot{s}_\alpha B \dot{s}_\alpha)$. Also one shows that P_α/B is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and the map $x \mapsto xB$ gives an embedding of U_α^- into $P_\alpha/B \cong \mathbb{C}P^1$ as an open neighborhood of eB isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $\underline{w} = (\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_d})$ be a d-tuple of simple roots. The Bott-Samelson variety $\tilde{X}_{\underline{w}}$ associated to $\underline{w}$ is defined as

$$\tilde{X}_{\underline{w}} = (P_{\alpha_{i_1}} \times \cdots \times P_{\alpha_{i_d}})/B^d,$$

where B^d acts on $P_{\alpha_{i_1}} \times \cdots \times P_{\alpha_{i_d}}$ by:

$$(b_1, \dots, b_d) \cdot (p_1, \dots, p_d) = (p_1 b_1, b_1^{-1} p_2 b_2, \dots, b_{d-1}^{-1} p_d b_d).$$

This is a smooth projective variety of dimension d, and multiplication defines a morphism $\pi_{\underline{w}} : X_{\underline{w}} \to G/B$. Let $w = s_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdots s_{\alpha_{i_d}}$. Suppose $\underline{w}$ is a reduced word, i.e. $\ell(w) = d$. Then it is well-known that:

Theorem 2.1. *the map $\pi_{\underline{w}} : X_{\underline{w}} \to G/B$ is birational onto its image, which is the Schubert variety X_w. Thus the Bott-Samelson varieties resolve singularities of Schubert varieties. Moreover, $\pi_{\underline{w}}$ is B-equivariant, and is an isomorphism over the open Schubert cell C_w.*

Now fix a reduced decomposition $\underline{w}_0 = (\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_N})$ for the longest element w_0. For $0 \leq k \leq N$, let $\underline{w}_k = (\alpha_{i_{k+1}}, \dots, \alpha_{i_N})$ and $w_k = \alpha_{i_{k+1}} \cdots \alpha_{i_N}$ (if $k = N$, $\underline{w}_N$ is empty and $w_N = e$). Define $\tilde{X}_k$ to be the set of $(p_1, \dots, p_N) \mod B^d$ in $\tilde{X}_{\underline{w}_0}$ such that $p_j = e$ if $1 \leq j \leq k$. One shows that $\tilde{X}_k$ is a subvariety of $\tilde{X}_{\underline{w}_0}$ isomorphic to the Bott-Samelson variety $\tilde{X}_{\underline{w}_k}$.

Consider the map $\Phi_{\underline{w}_0} : \mathbb{C}^N \to U^-_{\alpha_{i_1}} \times \cdots \times U^-_{\alpha_{i_N}} \to X_{\underline{w}_0}$ given by:

$$(t_1, \dots, t_N) \mapsto (\exp(t_1 F_{\alpha_{i_1}}), \dots, \exp(t_N F_{\alpha_{i_N}})) \mod B^N.$$

Note that for any α the minimal parabolic P_α contains the opposite root subgroup U_α^-, thus for any $k = 0, \dots, N-1$, we have an action of $U^-_{\alpha_{i_{k+1}}}$ on the Bott-Samelson variety $\tilde{X}_k$. This induces a generating vector field $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\alpha_{i_{k+1}}}$ on $\tilde{X}_k$. Under the product map $\pi_{\underline{w}_0}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\alpha_{i_{k+1}}}$ goes to $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_{i_{k+1}}}$.

One proves the following:

Proposition 2.2. (1) *$\Phi_{\underline{w}_0}$ is an embedding and the image of $\Phi_{\underline{w}_0}$ contains an open neighborhood $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ of the identity in $\tilde{X}_{\underline{w}_0}$ (which necessarily intersects all the subvarieties $\tilde{X}_k$).*

(2) *Let $t_1, \ldots, t_N$ be the coordinates on the open subset $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} \subset \tilde{X}_{\underline{w}_0}$ given by the embedding $\Phi_{\underline{w}_0}$. Then in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, each subvariety $\tilde{X}_k$ is given by $t_1 = \cdots = t_k = 0$.*

(3) *In these coordinates the vector field $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\alpha_{i_{k+1}}}$ on $\tilde{X}_k$ is given by $\partial/\partial t_{k+1}$.*

Similarly one defines $\tilde{Y}_k$ to be the set of $(p_1, \ldots, p_N) \mod B^N$ in $\tilde{X}_{\underline{w}_0}$ such that $p_j = \dot{s}_{\alpha_{i_j}}$ whenver $1 \leq j \leq k$. One verifies that this is independent of the choice of the representative $\dot{s}_{\alpha_{i_j}} \in N(T)$.

Proposition 2.3. (1) *$\tilde{Y}_k$ is also a subvariety of $\tilde{X}_{\underline{w}_0}$ isomorphic to $\tilde{X}_{\underline{w}_k}$.*

(2) *Under the product map $\pi_{\underline{w}_0}$, the subvariety $\tilde{Y}_k$ maps to the translated Schubert variety $Y_k = (w_0 w_k^{-1}) X_k$ (just note that $w_0 w_k^{-1} = s_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdots s_{\alpha_{i_k}}$). Moreover, $\pi_{\underline{w}_0} : \tilde{Y}_k \to Y_k$ is a birational isomorphism.*

Take the lexicographic order $t_1 > \ldots > t_N$ on $\mathbb{Z}^N$. As in Example 1.5 the coordinate system $t_1, \ldots, t_N$ gives rise to two different valuations on the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{X}_{\underline{w}_0})$: 1) the lowest term valuation and, 2) the highest term valuation. On the other hand, we have a sequence of Schubert varieties

$$\{o\} = X_N \subset X_{N-1} \subset \cdots \subset X_0 = G/B.$$

From Proposition 2.2 we see that, as rational functions on $\mathbb{C}(G/B)$, the t_k define a local system of parameters for this sequence of subvarieties. The valuation on $\mathbb{C}(G/B)$ corresponding to this sequence and the local system of parameters t_k, coincides with the lowest term valuation on $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{X}_{\underline{w}_0})$, via the birational isomorphism $\pi_{\underline{w}_0}$.

Similarly, we have a sequence of translated Schubert varieties

$$\{w_0 o\} = Y_N \subset \cdots \subset Y_1 \subset Y_0 = G/B.$$

For each $0 \leq k \leq N-1$ consider the embedding $\mathbb{C}^{N-k} \to U^-_{\alpha_{i_{k+1}}} \times \cdots \times U^-_{\alpha_{i_N}} \to \tilde{Y}_k$, given by

$$(t_{k+1}, \ldots, t_N) \mapsto (\dot{s}_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, \dot{s}_{\alpha_k}, \exp(t_{k+1} F_{\alpha_{i_{k+1}}}), \ldots, \exp(t_N F_{\alpha_{i_N}})) \mod B^N.$$

Similar to Proposition 2.2 this embedding gives a system of coordinates on an open subset of $\tilde{Y}_k$ which by abuse of notation we denote again by $t_{k+1}, \ldots, t_N$. The following key fact is easy to verify:

Proposition 2.4. *Consider the coordinate function t_{k+1} as a rational function on $\tilde{Y}_k$. Then t_{k+1} has a pole of order 1 on the hypersurface $\tilde{Y}_{k+1}$.*

From Proposition 2.4 we see that, as rational functions on $\mathbb{C}(G/B)$ via the birational map $\pi_{\underline{w}_0}$, the $u_k = 1/t_k$ define a local system of parameters for the sequence of subvarieties $Y_\bullet$.

Theorem 2.5. *The highest term valuation $v_{\underline{w}_0}$ on $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{X}_{\underline{w}_0})$ coincides, via the birational isomorphism $\pi_{\underline{w}_0}$, with the valuation $v'_{\underline{w}_0}$ on $\mathbb{C}(G/B)$ corresponding to the sequence $Y_\bullet$ of translated Schubert varieties and their local system of parameters $u_k = 1/t_k$.*

Proof. Since the map $\pi_{\underline{w}_0} : \tilde{Y}_k \to Y_k$ is a birational isomorphism for every k, we see that, via the map $\pi_{\underline{w}_0}$, the valuation corresponding the sequence of translated Schubert varieties Y_k coincides with the valuation corresponding to the sequence $\tilde{Y}_k$ of Bott-Samelson varieties. Now let $f(t_1, \ldots, t_N)$ be a regular function on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ with $v_{\underline{w}_0}(f) = (a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ and $v'_{\underline{w}_0}(f) =$

$(a'_1, \ldots, a'_N)$. By definition of the highest term valuation $v_{\underline{w}_0}$, we have $f(t_1, \ldots, t_N) = t_1^{-a_1} g_1(t_1, \ldots, t_N)$ where $\lim_{t_1 \to \infty} g_1(t_1, \ldots, t_N)$ exists and is nonzero. As t_1 has a pole of order 1 on $\tilde{Y}_1$, $-a_1$ is equal to the order of pole of f along the subvariety $\tilde{Y}_1$ i.e. $a_1 = a'_1$. Next consider $f_1 = (t_1^{-a_1} f)_{|\tilde{Y}_1}$. We have $f_1(\dot{s}_{\alpha_{i_1}}, t_2, \ldots, t_N) = \lim_{t_1 \to \infty} g_1(t_1, \ldots, t_N)$. Now t_2, as a rational function on $\tilde{Y}_1$, has a pole of order 1 on $\tilde{Y}_2$. Thus $-a_2$ is equal to the order of pole of f_1 along the subvariety $\tilde{Y}_2$, i.e. $a_2 = a'_2$. Continuing, we obtain $(a_1, \ldots, a_N) = (a'_1, \ldots, a'_N)$ as required. □

3. CRYSTAL BASES AND THEIR STRING PARAMETRIZATION

3.1. **Crystal bases.** In this section we recall some background material about the crystal bases and crystal graphs of representations.

Let V be a finite dimensional G-module. Let α be a simple root with the corresponding Lie algebra elements E_α and F_α which are the generators for the roots subspaces $\mathrm{Lie}(G)_\alpha$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{-\alpha}$ respectively. Define the functions $\epsilon_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha : V \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{Z}$, by

$$\epsilon_\alpha(v) = \max\{a \mid E_\alpha^a \cdot v \neq 0\},$$

$$\varphi_\alpha(v) = \max\{a \mid F_\alpha^a \cdot v \neq 0\}.$$

If $E_\alpha \cdot v = 0$ (respectively $F_\alpha \cdot v = 0$) we put $\epsilon_\alpha(v) = 0$ (respectively $\varphi_\alpha(v) = 0$).

One knows that ([Kash90]) that there is a vector space basis $\mathcal{B}_V$ for V consisting of weight vectors and with the following properties: For each $b \in \mathcal{B}_V$ let $E_\alpha \cdot b = \sum_i c_i b_i$ with $b_i \in \mathcal{B}_V, c_i \neq 0$. Then

(i) For every b_i, $\epsilon_\alpha(b_i) \leq \epsilon_\alpha(b) - 1$.
(ii) Provided that $E_\alpha \cdot b \neq 0$, there exists a unique k with $\epsilon_\alpha(b_k) = \epsilon_\alpha(b) - 1$. For all other $i \neq k,\ \epsilon_\alpha(b_i) < \epsilon_\alpha(b) - 1$.

and similarly, for each $b \in \mathcal{B}_V$ let $F_\alpha \cdot b = \sum_j e_j b_j$, $e_j \neq 0$. Then

(iii) For every b_j, $\varphi_\alpha(b_j) \leq \varphi_\alpha(b) - 1$.
(iv) Provided that $F_\alpha \cdot b \neq 0$, there exists a unique ℓ with $\varphi_\alpha(b_\ell) = \varphi_\alpha(b) - 1$. For all other $j \neq \ell,\ \varphi_\alpha(b_j) < \varphi_\alpha(b) - 1$.
Finally, for $b \in \mathcal{B}_V$, define $\tilde{E}_\alpha(b) = b_k$ and $\tilde{F}_\alpha(b) = b_\ell$. If $E_\alpha(b) = 0$ (respectively $F_\alpha(b) = 0$) let $\tilde{E}_\alpha(b) = 0$ (respectively $\tilde{F}_\alpha(b) = 0$).
(v) For $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}_V$, $\tilde{E}_\alpha(b) = b'$ if and only if $\tilde{F}_\alpha(b') = b$.

A basis $\mathcal{B}_V$ which satisfies the above is called a *crystal basis for the representation V*.

The operators $\tilde{E}_\alpha, \tilde{F}_\alpha : \mathcal{B}_V \to \mathcal{B}_V \cup \{0\}$, are called the *Kashiwara operators corresponding to the simple root α*.

Consider the directed labeled graph whose vertices are the elements of $\mathcal{B}_V \cup \{0\}$ and its directed edges are labeled by the simple roots in the following way: for $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}_V$ we have $b \xrightarrow{\alpha} b'$ if $\tilde{E}_\alpha(b) = b'$ (equivalently $\tilde{F}_\alpha(b') = b$). Also for $b \in \mathcal{B}_V$ we write $b \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$ if $\tilde{E}_\alpha(b) = 0$, and $0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} b$ if $\tilde{F}_\alpha(b) = 0$. It is known that for different bases satisfying the above conditions, the graphs produced are isomorphic. This graph is called the *crystal graph of the representation V*.

Let V^* be the dual representation of V and let $\mathcal{B}_V^*$ be the basis dual to a crystal basis $\mathcal{B}_V$. One sees that $\mathcal{B}_V^*$ is a crystal basis for V^* and its crystal graph is the crystal graph of V where the labels on the edges are reversed, i.e. for any $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}_V \cup \{0\}$ and any simple root α we have $b \xrightarrow{\alpha} b'$ if and only if $b'^* \xrightarrow{\alpha} b^*$.

3.2. **String parametrization.** In this section we define the string parametrization for the elements of a crystal basis of a representation. In [Litt98] and [B-Z01], the authors construct a remarkable parametrization, called the *string parametrization*, for the elements of a crystal basis by the integral points in certain polytopes. The construction depends on the choice of a reduced decomposition $\underline{w}_0$ for the longest element $w_0 \in W$.

In this paper we mostly deal with the spaces of functions which appear as dual representations. Hence we will discuss the string parametrization for the dual crystal bases.

Let V be a finite dimensional G-module with a crystal basis $\mathcal{B}_V$, and $\mathcal{B}_V^*$ the corresponding dual basis for V^*. Fix a reduced decomposition $\underline{w}_0 = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_N})$, $w_0 = s_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdots s_{\alpha_{i_N}}$.

Definition 3.1 (String parametrization)**.** Define the map $\iota_{\underline{w}_0} : \mathcal{B}_V^* \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$ by $\iota_{\underline{w}_0}(b^*) = (a_1, \ldots, a_N)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \max\{a \mid \tilde{F}^a_{\alpha_{i_1}}(b^*) \neq 0\}, \\ a_2 &= \max\{a \mid \tilde{F}^a_{\alpha_{i_2}} \tilde{F}^{a_1}_{\alpha_{i_1}}(b^*) \neq 0\}, \\ a_3 &= \max\{a \mid \tilde{F}^a_{\alpha_{i_3}} \tilde{F}^{a_2}_{\alpha_{i_2}} \tilde{F}^{a_1}_{\alpha_{i_1}}(b^*) \neq 0\}, \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

We call the map $\iota_{\underline{w}_0}$ the *string parametrization of* $\mathcal{B}_V^*$ *corresponding to the reduced decomposition* $\underline{w}_0$.

Remark 3.2. From the definition, the string parametrization depends only on the crystal graph of V. As the crystal graph is independent of the choice of a crystal basis, the image of the string parametrization is also independent of this choice.

The following remarkable result due to Littelmann describes the image of the string parametrization for finite dimensional irreducible G-modules: For a dominant weight $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ let us denote a crystal basis for the irreducible representation V_λ by $\mathcal{B}_\lambda$. Also let S_λ denote the image of the dual basis $\mathcal{B}_\lambda^*$ under the string parametrization $\iota_{\underline{w}_0}$.

Theorem 3.3. [Litt98, Theorem 4.2]

1. *For any dominant weight* λ, $\dim(V_\lambda^*) = \#S_\lambda$, *i.e. the string parametrization is one-to-one.*
2. *Consider*
$$\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda^+} \{(\lambda, a) \mid a \in S_\lambda\} \subset \Lambda^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N.$$
Then $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}$ *is the intersection of a convex rational polyhedral cone* $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}$ *in* $\Lambda_\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ *with the lattice* $\Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}^N$. *(In particular,* $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}$ *intersects the plane* $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ *only at the origin.)*

Definition 3.4 (String polytope)**.** For any λ in the positive Weyl chamber $\Lambda_\mathbb{R}^+$, the *string polytope* $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is the slice of the cone $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}$ at λ that is,

$$\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda) = \{a \mid (\lambda, a) \in \mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}\}.$$

As $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}$ is convex and intersects $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ only at the origin, $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)$ is a rational convex polytope (i.e. with rational vertices).

Remark 3.5.
1. When $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ is a dominant weight, by Theorem 3.3, the number of integral points in the string polytope $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)$ is equal to $\dim(V_\lambda)$.
2. $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(k\lambda) = k\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)$ for any $k > 0$.

Remark 3.6. In [Litt98] it is shown that when $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and for a natural choice of a reduced decomposition $\underline{w}_0$, after a fixed linear change of parameters independent of λ, the string polytope $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)$ coincides with the Gelfand-Cetlin polytope of λ. Similar statements hold for the Gelfand-Cetlin polytopes of the classical groups $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$ (as introduced in [B-Z88]).

The following states that in defining the string parameters we can use F_α instead of $\tilde{F}_\alpha$. It is a straight forward corollary of the defining properties of a crystal basis.

Proposition 3.7. *Let* $b^* \in \mathcal{B}^*_V$ *be a dual crystal basis element. For any simple root* α *we have* $\tilde{F}_\alpha \cdot b^* = 0$ *if and only if* $F_\alpha \cdot b^* = 0$*. Hence*

$$\max\{a \mid \tilde{F}^a_\alpha \cdot b^* \neq 0\} = \max\{a \mid F^a_\alpha \cdot b^* \neq 0\}.$$

It follows that if $\iota_{\underline{w}_0}(b^*) = (a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ *are the string parameters of* b^**, then:*

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \max\{a \mid F^a_{\alpha_{i_1}}(b^*) \neq 0\}, \\ a_2 &= \max\{a \mid F^a_{\alpha_{i_2}} F^{a_1}_{\alpha_{i_1}}(b^*) \neq 0\}, \\ a_3 &= \max\{a \mid F^a_{\alpha_{i_3}} F^{a_2}_{\alpha_{i_2}} F^{a_1}_{\alpha_{i_1}}(b^*) \neq 0\}, \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

One can then extend the definition of the string parametrization to all the vectors in the G-module.

Definition 3.8. Let $\sigma \in V^* \setminus \{0\}$ be an element of the dual G-module. Define the string parameters $\iota_{\underline{w}_0}(\sigma) = (a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \max\{a \mid F^a_{\alpha_{i_1}}(\sigma) \neq 0\}, \\ a_2 &= \max\{a \mid F^a_{\alpha_{i_2}} F^{a_1}_{\alpha_{i_1}}(\sigma) \neq 0\}, \\ a_3 &= \max\{a \mid F^a_{\alpha_{i_3}} F^{a_2}_{\alpha_{i_2}} F^{a_1}_{\alpha_{i_1}}(\sigma) \neq 0\}, \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, consider the *generalized Plücker map* $\Phi_\lambda : G/B \to \mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$, given by $gB \mapsto [g \cdot v_\lambda]$, where v_λ is a highest weight vector in V_λ, and $[v]$ is the points in the projective space represented by a vector v. Also let us assume that λ is a regular weight (i.e. lies in the interior of the positive Weyl chamber). Then Φ_λ is an embedding. From Remark 3.5 we obtain:

Corollary 3.9. *The degree of the image of* G/B *in the projective space* $\mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$ *is equal to* $N!\mathrm{Vol}_N(\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda))$*, where* Vol_N *is the standard* N*-dimensional Lebesgue measure in* $\mathbb{R}^N$*.*

Proof. The homogeneous coordinate ring of the image of G/B in $\mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$ is naturally isomorphic to the graded ring $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} V^*_{k\lambda}$. By Remark 3.5, the dimension of the k-th graded piece is given by $\#(k\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda))$. The corollary follows from the Hilbert theorem on the degree of a projective subvariety of the projective space. $\square$

3.3. **Demazure modules and string parametrization.** The purpose of this section is to give an alternative definition of the string parametrization (Theorem 3.11). This will be an important step in the proof of our main theorem (Theorem 4.1).

Let λ be a dominant weight. For any $w \in W$ one knows that the weight space of the weight $w\lambda$ in V_λ is 1-dimensional. An eigenvector $v_{w\lambda}$ of weight $w\lambda$ is called an *extremal weight vector*. The B-module generated by $v_{w\lambda}$ is called the *Demazure module* corresponding to w and λ and denoted by $V_\lambda(w)$. Note that the Demazure module $V_\lambda(w_0)$ is just the whole space V_λ. Demazure modules play an important role in the representation theory of V_λ as well as in Schubert calculus.

For $w \in W$, the inclusion $V_\lambda(w) \subset V_\lambda$ induces the projection $\pi_w : V_\lambda^* \to V_\lambda(w)^*$. It is known that for any $w \in W$, the restriction map $H^0(G/B, L_\lambda) \to H^0(X_w, L_{\lambda|X_w})$ is surjective and one can identify $H^0(X_w, L_{\lambda|X_w})$ with the dual Demazure module $V_\lambda(w)^*$. Under this identification the projection π_w corresponds to the restriction map $H^0(G/B, L_\lambda) \to H^0(X_w, L_{\lambda|X_w})$.

It is well-known ([Kash93]) that for any $w \in W$ there is a subset $\mathcal{B}_\lambda(w)$ of a crystal basis $\mathcal{B}_\lambda$ which is a basis for $V_\lambda(w)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{B}_\lambda(w) \cup \{0\}$ is invariant under $\tilde{E}_\alpha$, for any simple root α.

Let $\mathcal{B}_\lambda^*$ be the dual basis for V_λ^*. For each $b \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda$ let $b^* \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda^*$ be its corresponding dual basis element. One then knows:

Proposition 3.10. (1) *For any $w \in W$, the set $\mathcal{B}_\lambda^* \setminus (\mathcal{B}_\lambda(w))^*$ is a basis for* $\ker(\pi_w)$.
(2) *The image (under π_w) of $(\mathcal{B}_\lambda(w))^*$ is a basis for $V_\lambda(w)^*$.*
(3) *For any simple root α, the set $(\mathcal{B}_\lambda(w))^* \cup \{0\}$ is invariant under $\tilde{F}_\alpha$.*

Finally we have the following theorem about the string parametrization and Demazure modules. As before fix a reduced decomposition $\underline{w}_0 = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_N})$ and for any $k = 0, \ldots, N$ let

$$w_k = s_{\alpha_{i_{k+1}}} \cdots s_{\alpha_{i_N}}.$$

For $\sigma \in V_\lambda^* \setminus \{0\}$ let $\iota_{\underline{w}_0}(\sigma) = (a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ be its string parameters.

Similar to the definition of the string parameters, define the N-tuple of integers $(e_1, \ldots, e_N)$ as follows. Let

$$e_1 = a_1 = \max\{e \mid F_{\alpha_{i_1}}^e \cdot \sigma \neq 0\}.$$

Put $\sigma_1 = F_{\alpha_{i_1}}^{e_1} \cdot \sigma$ and define

$$e_2 = \max\{e \mid \pi_{w_1}(F_{\alpha_{i_2}}^e \cdot \sigma_1) \neq 0\}.$$

Put $\sigma_2 = F_{\alpha_{i_2}}^{e_2} \cdot \sigma_1$ and define

$$e_3 = \max\{e \mid \pi_{w_2}(F_{\alpha_{i_3}}^e \cdot \sigma_2) \neq 0\}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Theorem 3.11 (Alternative definition of string parametrization)**.** *For any $\sigma \in V_\lambda^* \setminus \{0\}$ we have $(a_1, \ldots, a_N) = (e_1, \ldots, e_N)$.*

We need the following lemma which can be found in [Kash93, Lemma 3.3.3].

Lemma 3.12. *Let $w = s_\alpha w'$ for a simple reflection s_α and $\ell(w) = \ell(w') + 1$. Let $b^* \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda^*$ and for some $k \geq 0$, $\tilde{E}_\alpha^k \cdot b^* \in (\mathcal{B}_\lambda(w))^*$. Then $\tilde{F}_\alpha \cdot b^* = 0$ implies that $b^* \in (\mathcal{B}_\lambda(w'))^*$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.11. Let $\sigma \in V_\lambda^* \setminus \{0\}$. From properties of crystal basis (Section 3.1) it follows that there is a unique $b^* \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda^*$ such that, in writing σ as a linear combination of elements of $\mathcal{B}_\lambda^*$, b^* appears with nonzero coefficient and $\iota_{\underline{w}_0}(\sigma) = \iota_{\underline{w}_0}(b^*) = (a_1, \ldots, a_N)$. From definition we have $e_1 = a_1$. Let

$$\sigma_1 = F_{\alpha_{i_1}}^{a_1} \cdot \sigma,$$

$$b_1^* = F_{\alpha_{i_1}}^{a_1} \cdot b^* = \tilde{F}_{\alpha_{i_1}}^{a_1} \cdot b^*.$$

By Lemma 3.12 applied to b_1^* we see that $b_1^* \in (\mathcal{B}_\lambda(w_1))^*$. Also from properties of crystal basis one sees that b_1^* appears with nonzero coefficient in σ_1. Moreover, for any $a \leq a_2$, the basis element $\tilde{F}_{\alpha_{i_2}}^a \cdot b_1^*$ appears with nonzero coefficient in $F_{\alpha_{i_2}}^a \cdot \sigma_1$. Now let us show that $e_2 = a_2$. Suppose for some $e \geq 0$ we have $\pi_{w_1}(F^e_{\alpha_{i_2}} \cdot \sigma_1) = 0$. By Proposition 3.10, $\ker(\pi_{w_1})$ is spanned by $\mathcal{B}^*_\lambda \setminus (\mathcal{B}_\lambda(w_1))^*$. Thus $F^e_{\alpha_{i_2}} \cdot \sigma_1$ is a linear combination of the elements in this set. On the other hand, $\tilde{F}^e_{\alpha_{i_2}} \cdot b^*_1$ appears in $F^e_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdot \sigma_1$ with nonzero coefficient. Also, by Proposition 3.10(3), $b^*_1 \in (\mathcal{B}_\lambda(w_1))^*$ implies that $\tilde{F}^e_{\alpha_{i_2}} \cdot b^*_1 \in (\mathcal{B}_\lambda(w_1))^* \cup \{0\}$. In view of the above, we conclude that $\tilde{F}^e_{\alpha_{i_2}} \cdot b^*_1 = 0$ which shows that $F^e_{\alpha_{i_2}} \cdot \sigma_1 = 0$. This proves that $e_2 = a_2$. Continuing the same way, we get $(e_1, \ldots, e_N) = (a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ as required. □

Geometrically speaking, if we regard the elements of $V^*_\lambda(w_k)$ as sections of the G-line bundle L_λ restricted to the Schubert variety X_k, in the step defining the string parameter a_k we can restrict our section σ_{k-1} to the Schubert variety X_k.

4. Main result

In this section we prove our main result. Fix a B^--eignevector τ_λ in $H^*(G/B, L_\lambda) \cong V^*_\lambda$. The divisor D_λ of τ_λ is B^--invariant and hence does not intersect the open opposite cell $\mathcal{U}^-$. In particular, D_λ does not contain any Schubert variety X_w. Let $\sigma \in H^0(G/B, L_\lambda)$ and write

$$\sigma = f_\sigma \tau_\lambda.$$

Since τ_λ does not vanish on $\mathcal{U}^-$ then f_σ has no pole on $\mathcal{U}^-$, i.e. $f_\sigma \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{U}^-]$. Thus $\sigma \mapsto f_\sigma$ gives an embedding of $V^*_\lambda \cong H^0(G/B, L_\lambda)$ into $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{U}^-] \subset \mathbb{C}(G/B)$.

The reduced decomposition $\underline{w}_0$ defines a sequence of translated Schubert varieties $Y_N \subset \cdots \subset Y_0 = G/B$, where $Y_k = w_0 w_k^{-1} X_k$ is the translated Schubert variety corresponding to $w_k = s_{\alpha_{k+1}} \cdots s_{\alpha_N}$. Let $v_{\underline{w}_0}$ denote the valuation on the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(G/B)$ associated to this sequence of subvarieties (Example 1.6).

We saw in Theorem 2.5 that alternatively $v_{\underline{w}_0}$ can be defined as the highest term valuation constructed from a natural system of parameters $t_1, \ldots, t_N$ on the Bott-Samelson variety $\tilde{X}_{\underline{w}_0}$, corresponding to the reduced decomposition $\underline{w}_0$.

Our main result is that the valuation $v_{\underline{w}_0}$ coincides with the string parametrization $\iota_{\underline{w}_0}$.

Theorem 4.1 (Main result)**.** *For any $\sigma \in H^0(G/B, L_\lambda) \cong V^*_\lambda$ we have*

$$\iota_{\underline{w}_0}(\sigma) = -v_{\underline{w}_0}(f_\sigma).$$

(The negative sign appears because the highest term valuation was defined to be the negative of the highest exponent, see Example 1.5.) As in Example 1.9, let $\tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0}$ be the valuation on the ring of sections $R(L_\lambda)$ associated to $v_{\underline{w}_0}$. We then have:

Corollary 4.2. *For any dominant weight λ, the string polytope $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)$ coincides with the Newton-Okounkov body $\Delta(R(L_\lambda), \tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0})$ associated to the ring of sections $R(L_\lambda)$ and the valuation $\tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0}$.*

Proof. Consider the subsemigroup $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda) = \{(k\lambda, a) \mid a \in S_{k\lambda}\}.$$

(Recall that S_λ is the image of $\mathcal{B}^*_\lambda$ under the string parametrization $\iota_{\underline{w}_0}$.) Let $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)$ be cone generated by $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)$, that is, the closure of convex hull of $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda) \cup \{0\}$. It is easily seen that the string polytope $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)$ is the slice of this cone at λ, i.e. $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda) = \{a \mid (\lambda, a) \in \mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)\}$. In other words,

$$\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda) = \overline{\mathrm{conv}(\bigcup_{k>0} \{a/k \mid (k\lambda, a) \in \mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)\})}.$$

But by Theorem 4.1, $(k\lambda, a) \in \mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)$ is equivalent to $(k, a) \in S(R(L_\lambda), \tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0})$. Thus the string polytope $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)$ coincides with the Newton-Okounkov body $\Delta(R(L_\lambda), \tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0})$. $\square$

The rest of the section is devoted to a proof of Theorem 4.1. The main idea in the proof is that the action of the Lie algebra of G (on the rational functions, or the sections of a line bundle) is the derivative of the action of G. Hence the action of the Lie algebra elements F_α corresponds to the differentiation of functions. The number of times one should apply F_α, to a polynomial on $\mathcal{U}^-$, to get 0 then corresponds to the number of times one needs to differentiate the polynomial (in an appropriate direction) to get 0. In a carefully chosen system of coordinates, this gives us the highest power of the first coordinate variable appearing in the polynomial. Continuing, we get the highest term of the polynomial (with respect to a certain lexicographic order).

Consider the action of a group G on a variety X (in our case the action of G on the flag variety $X = G/B$ from left). Such an action gives an action of G on the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(X)$ by $(g \cdot f)(x) = f(g^{-1} \cdot x)$, $f \in \mathbb{C}(X)$, and hence an action of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ on $\mathbb{C}(X)$. On the other hand, every Lie algebra element $\xi \in \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ generates a vector field $\mathcal{V}_\xi$ on X. The next lemma follows directly from definition.

Lemma 4.3. *Take $\xi \in \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ and $f \in \mathbb{C}(X)$. Then $\xi \cdot f$ is equal to the derivative of f in the direction of the generating vector field $\mathcal{V}_{-\xi}$ on X, i.e. $\xi \cdot f = df(\mathcal{V}_{-\xi})$. In particular, $F_\alpha \cdot f$ is equal to the derivative of f in the direction of $\mathcal{V}_{-F_\alpha}$.*

Proof. The action of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ on $\mathbb{C}(X)$ is the derivative of the action of G on $\mathbb{C}(X)$. That is, for every $\xi \in \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ the action of ξ on f is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi \cdot f(x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\exp(t\xi) \cdot f)(x)_{|t=0}, \quad \forall x \in G/B \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(f(\exp(t\xi)^{-1} \cdot x))_{|t=0}, \\
&= df(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\exp(-t\xi) \cdot x))_{|t=0}, \\
&= df(\mathcal{V}_{-\xi}(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Take $\sigma \in H^0(G/B, L_\lambda)$ and write $\sigma = f\tau_\lambda$ where $f \in \mathbb{C}(X)$. We wish to show that $\iota_{\underline{w}_0}(\sigma) = -v_{\underline{w}_0}(f)$. Let $\iota_{\underline{w}_0}(\sigma) = (a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ be the string parameters of σ. Recall (Theorem 3.11) that we can alternatively define $(a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= \max\{a \mid (F^a_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdot \sigma \neq 0\}, \\
a_2 &= \max\{a \mid (F^a_{\alpha_{i_2}} \cdot F^{a_1}_{\alpha_{i_1}} \sigma)_{|X_1} \neq 0\}, \quad \text{etc.}
\end{aligned}$$

As f is regular on $\mathcal{U}^-$ it has no pole on X_1. Moreover, as τ_λ is U^--invariant, for any α we have:

$$F_\alpha \cdot \sigma = (F_\alpha \cdot f)\tau_\lambda.$$

This implies that:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= \max\{a \mid F^a_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdot f \neq 0\}, \\
a_2 &= \max\{a \mid (F^a_{\alpha_{i_2}} \cdot F^{a_1}_{\alpha_{i_1}} f)_{|X_1} \neq 0\}, \quad \text{etc.}
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider the Bott-Samelson variety $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}_{\underline{w}_0}$ and the coordinate system $t_1, \ldots, t_N$ on the affine open subset $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} \subset \tilde{X}_{\underline{w}_0}$ (as in Proposition 2.2). There is a birational isomorphism $\pi_{\underline{w}_0} : \tilde{X} \to X = G/B$. Also recall that we have a sequence of Schubert varieties $X_k = X_{w_k}$ and a sequence of Bott-Samelson varieties $\tilde{X}_k$ embedded in $\tilde{X}$ and lying over the X_k such that 1) for each k, $\pi_{\underline{w}_0} : \tilde{X}_k \to X_k$ is a birational isomorphism, and 2) in the open set $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, the subvariety $\tilde{X}_k$ is given by $t_1 = \cdots = t_k = 0$.

Let $\tilde{f}$ denote the pull-back of f to $\tilde{X}$ by $\pi_{\underline{w}_0}$. As f is regular on $\mathcal{U}^-$, $\tilde{f}$ is regular on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$. Note that, for each k, the map $\pi_{\underline{w}_0}$ is equivariant with respect to the actions of $F_{\alpha_{i_k}}$ on $\tilde{X}_k$ and X_k. Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \max\{a \mid F^a_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdot \tilde{f} \neq 0\}, \\ a_2 &= \max\{a \mid (F^a_{\alpha_{i_2}} \cdot F^{a_1}_{\alpha_{i_1}} \tilde{f})_{|\tilde{X}_1} \neq 0\}, \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 4.3 imply that:

$$F^a_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdot \tilde{f} = (-1)^a (\partial/\partial t_1)^a \tilde{f}, \tag{2}$$

which gives us:

$$a_1 = \max\{a \mid (\partial/\partial t_1)^a \tilde{f} \neq 0\}.$$

Next put $\tilde{f}_1 = ((\partial/\partial t_1)^{a_1} \tilde{f})_{|\tilde{X}_1}$. By (2), $\tilde{f}_1$ is a constant times $(F^{a_1}_{\alpha_{i_1}} f)_{|\tilde{X}_1}$. Again Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 4.3 give:

$$a_2 = \max\{a \mid (\partial/\partial t_2)^a \tilde{f}_1 \neq 0\}.$$

Continuing the same way, for $k = 1, \ldots, N$, we have

$$a_k = \max\{a \mid (\partial/\partial t_k)^a \tilde{f}_{k-1} \neq 0\},$$

where the $\tilde{f}_k$ are defined inductively by $\tilde{f}_k = ((\partial/\partial t_k)^{a_k} \tilde{f}_{k-1})_{|\tilde{X}_k}$.

To conclude the proof, recall that by Theorem 2.5, the valuation $v_{\underline{w}_0}$ on $\mathbb{C}(G/B)$ coincides (via the birational isomorphim $\pi_{\underline{w}_0}$) with the highest term valuation on $\mathbb{C}(\tilde{X}_{\underline{w}_0})$ corresponding to the coordinate system $t_1, \ldots, t_N$ and the lexicographic order $t_1 > \cdots > t_N$. The theorem now follows from the following elementary lemma whose proof is straightforward.

Lemma 4.4. *Let h be a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[t_1, \ldots, t_N]$. Fix a lexicographic order on the monomials with $t_1 > \cdots > t_N$. Let $v(h) = (v_1, \ldots, v_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}$ be the highest exponent of h. We then have:*

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= \max\{a \mid (\partial/\partial t_1)^a h \neq 0\}, \\ v_2 &= \max\{a \mid ((\partial/\partial t_2)^a (\partial/\partial t_1)^{v_1} h)_{|t_1=0} \neq 0\}, \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.2 is closely related to an earlier result of Okounkov on the Gelfand-Cetlin polytopes for the symplectic group ([Ok98, Theorem 2]):

Example 4.5 (Gelfand-Cetlin polytopes for symplectic group)**.** Let $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. Choose a basis $e_1, \dots, e_{2n}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ in which the matrix of the symplectic form is

$$\begin{bmatrix} & & & & & 1\\ & 0 & & & \dots & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & & & \\ & \dots & & & 0 & \\ -1 & & & & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let T, B^-, U^- be the subgroups of diagonal, lower triangular and lower triangular with 1's on diagonal matrices respectively. Let x_{ij}, $1 \leq i, j \leq 2n$, denote the matrix entries for the elements of G. Then x_{ij}, $i > j; i + j \leq 2n + 1$ are coordinates for U^-, which can also be considered as coordinates on the open opposite Schubert cell $\mathcal{U}^-$ under the map $u \mapsto uo$. Take any dominant weight λ. As above, embed the irreducible representation $H^0(G/B, L_\lambda) \cong V_\lambda^*$ into the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{U}^-] \cong \mathbb{C}[U^-]$. Then any $f \in V_\lambda^*$ can be represented as a polynomial in the variables x_{ij}, $i > j; i + j \leq 2n + 1$. Okounkov's result states that, after a fixed linear change of coordinates in $\mathbb{Z}^N$, the set of exponents of the lowest terms of polynomials $f \in V_\lambda^*$ (with respect to a certain natural lexicographic order) coincides with the set of integral points in the Gelfand-Cetlin polytope associated to λ.

Remark 4.6. We should point out that the Gelfand-Cetlin basis (for classical groups) in general is not a crystal basis. Although, as the above example shows, the sets of highest terms of these two bases (regarded as polynomials on the open cell) can be identified (see also Remark 3.6).

5. Proof of multiplicativity property of dual canonical basis

Consider the algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[G/U]$ of regular functions on the affine homogeneous space G/U. It can be naturally identified with the algebra of U-invariant regular functions on G. Consider the action of $G \times T$ on $\mathcal{A}$ where G acts from left and T acts from right (since T normalizes U, the right action of T on G/U is well-defined).

One knows that as a $G \times T$-module $\mathcal{A}$ has a decomposition:

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda^+} V_\lambda^*,$$

where T acts on the irreducible representation V_λ^* via the character λ.

There exists a remarkable basis $\mathcal{B}^*$ for the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. For each λ, $\mathcal{B}_\lambda^*$ is the dual basis of the basis of V_λ consisting of the nonzero bv_λ, where b lies in the specialization at $q = 1$ of the Kashiwara-Lusztigs canonical basis. We will refer to $\mathcal{B}^*$ as the *dual canonical basis*. The basis $\mathcal{B}$ has the property that for each λ, $\mathcal{B}_\lambda^* = \mathcal{B}^* \cap V_\lambda^*$ is the dual of a crystal basis for the irreducible representation V_λ.

Consider the map $j : T \times U^- \to G/U$ given by $(t, u) \mapsto tuU$. One knows that the multiplication map $(t, u) \mapsto tu$ is an isomorphism of varieties $T \times U^-$ and B^-. Also the map $x \mapsto xU$ is an isomorphism of B^- and B^-U, the B^--orbit of the identity coset eU in G/U. By the Bruhat decomposition this B^--orbit is open dense. Thus the map j identifies $T \times U^-$ with the open subset B^-U in G/U. The restriction map $j^* : \mathbb{C}[G/U] \to \mathbb{C}[T \times U^-]$ is then an embedding of algebras.

Fix any total order on the weight lattice Λ respecting the addition. Also order the group $\mathbb{Z}^N$ with lexicographic order corresponding to the standard basis. Finally, define a total order on $\Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}^N$ as follows: for $(\lambda, \alpha), (\mu, \beta) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}^N$ let $(\lambda, \alpha) < (\mu, \beta)$ if $\lambda < \mu$, or $\lambda = \mu$ and $\alpha < \beta$.

Given a reduced decomposition $\underline{w}_0$ for w_0 we define a valuation $\mathbf{v}_{\underline{w}_0}$ on the algebra $\mathbb{C}[T \times U^-] \cong \mathbb{C}[T] \otimes \mathbb{C}[U^-]$ with values in $\Lambda^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}$. The image of this valuation on $\mathbb{C}[G/U]$ will coincide with the total image of the string parametrization, i.e. the semigroup of all the integral points in the cone $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}$.

Take a function $f \in \mathbb{C}[T \times U^-]$. It can be written as $f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \chi^\gamma \otimes f_\gamma$, where χ^γ is the character corresponding to a weight γ and $f_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}[U^-]$ is a polynomial on the affine space U^-. Let

$$\lambda = \min\{\gamma \mid f_\gamma \neq 0\},$$

and put

$$\mathbf{v}_{\underline{w}_0}(f) = (\lambda, v_{\underline{w}_0}(f_\lambda)),$$

(where we have identified $\mathcal{U}^-$ and U^-).

Proposition 5.1. *1) $\mathbf{v}_{\underline{w}_0}$ is a valuation with one-dimensional leaves on the algebra $\mathbb{C}[T \times U^-]$, and hence on $\mathbb{C}[G/U]$ via the embedding $j^* : \mathbb{C}[G/U] \to \mathbb{C}[T \times U^-]$. 2) The values $\mathbf{v}_{\underline{w}_0}(b^*)$, for the dual canonical basis elements $b^* \in \mathcal{B}^*$, are distinct. 3) The value semigroup $S(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{v}_{\underline{w}_0})$ coincides with the set of integral points in the cone $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}$.*

Proof. 1) is a straight forward corollary of the definition of $\mathbf{v}_{\underline{w}_0}$ and the fact that $v_{\underline{w}_0}$ is a valuation on $\mathbb{C}[U^-]$ with one-dimensional leaves. 2) follows from the fact that, for any dominant weight λ, $v_{\underline{w}_0}$ attains distinct values on the dual canonical basis $\mathcal{B}^*_\lambda$. 3) Follows immediately from definition of $\mathbf{v}_{\underline{w}_0}$, Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 3.3. □

From the general properties of valuations on algebras (Proposition 1.12), we now immediately obtain the following multiplicativity property of the dual canonical basis due to P. Caldero ([Cal02, Section 2]):

Corollary 5.2 (Multiplicativity property of dual canonical basis). *Let λ, μ be two dominant weights and b'^*, b''^* dual canonical basis elements in $\mathcal{B}^*_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{B}^*_\mu$ respectively. Then the product $b'^* b''^* \in V^*_{\lambda+\mu} \subset \mathcal{A}$ can be uniquely written as*

$$b'^* b''^* = cb^* + \sum_j c_j b^*_j,$$

where b^* and the b^*_j are in $\mathcal{B}^*_{\lambda+\mu}$, $\iota_{\underline{w}_0}(b^*) = \iota_{\underline{w}_0}(b'^*) + \iota_{\underline{w}_0}(b''^*)$, and $\iota_{\underline{w}_0}(b^*_k) < \iota_{\underline{w}_0}(b'^*) + \iota_{\underline{w}_0}(b''^*)$ whenever $c_k \neq 0$.

Remark 5.3. The above valuation $\mathbf{v}_{\underline{w}_0}$ on the algebra $\mathbb{C}[T \times U^-]$ in fact corresponds to a sequence of subvarieties on the affine variety $\mathbb{C}^n \times U^-$. First, in definition of $\mathbf{v}_{\underline{w}_0}$ let us use a lexicographic order on Λ. Take a basis $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n$ for the weight lattice Λ such that $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_r$ are the fundamental weights, and consider the lexicographic order on Λ with respect to the ordered basis $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$. Let $\chi_i = \chi^{\omega_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, be the coordinate characters on the torus corresponding to the basis $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n$ for Λ. Let us identify T with $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ via the coordinates χ_i. Also identify the opposite open cell $\mathcal{U}^-$ with U^- and then with $\{0\} \times U^- \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times U^-$. Consider the sequence of subvarieties

$$Y'_N \subset \cdots \subset Y'_0 = U^- = Z_n \subset \cdots \subset Z_0 = T \times U^-,$$

where Y'_k is the translated Schubert variety $Y_k = w_0 w_k^{-1} X_k$ corresponding to $w_k \in W$ intersected with U^-, and Z_i is the coordinate subspace in $\mathbb{C}^n \times U^-$ defined by $Z_i = \{\chi_1 = \cdots = \chi_i = 0\}$. It is easy to see from the definition that the valuation corresponding to this sequence of subvarieties (together with the obvious choices of parameters) coincides with the valuation $\mathbf{v}_{\underline{w}_0}$.

6. SAGBI BASES, VALUATIONS AND TORIC DEGENERATIONS

This section is closely related to [And10]. Let $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial algebra in n variables. Fix a well-ordering $<$ on $\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$, e.g. a lexicographic order. For $0 \neq f \in R$, let $v(f) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ denote the exponent of the highest term of a polynomial f with respect to $<$, i.e. if $f(x) = \sum_{\alpha=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}} c_\alpha x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ then $v(f) = \max\{\alpha \mid c_\alpha \neq 0\}$. [3] Let A be a subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. The subalgebra A is said to have a SAGBI basis (*Subalgebra analogue of Gröbner basis for Ideals*) if the semigroup $S(A, v)$ is finitely generated. A collection of polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_t$ such that $v(f_1), \ldots v(f_t)$ is a set of generators for $S(A, v)$ is called a SAGBI basis for A.

The remarkable property of a SAGBI basis is that one can represent every $h \in A$ as a polynomial in the f_i in a simple algorithmic way: write $v(h) = d_1 v(f_1) + \cdots + d_r v(f_t)$ for some $d_1, \ldots, d_t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Dividing the leading coefficient of h by the leading coefficient of ${f_1}^{d_1} \cdots {f_t}^{d_t}$, we obtain a c such that the leading term of h is the same as the leading term of $c{f_1}^{d_1} \cdots {f_t}^{d_t}$. Set $g = h - c{f_1}^{d_1} \cdots {f_t}^{d_t}$. If $g = 0$, we are done; otherwise we replace h by g and proceed inductively. Since g has a strictly smaller leading exponent than h, and $\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ is well-ordered with respect to $<$, this process will terminate, resulting in an expression for h as a polynomial in the f_i. This classical algorithm is referred to as *subduction algorithm.*

The SAGBI bases play an important role in computational algebra when one deals with subalgebras of polynomials. Existence of a SAGBI basis is a rather strong condition on the subalgebra. It is an important unsolved problem to determine which subalgebras posses a SAGBI basis. There are examples of subalgebras that have no SAGBI basis with respect to any term order. On the other hand there are subalgebras which have a SAGBI basis for one choice of a term order and no SAGBI basis for another choice.

The concept of a SAGBI basis can be generalized to the subalgebras of the Laurent polynomials [Rei03]. In this case, since the set of exponents lies in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ which is not a well-ordered set, one requires that the subduction algorithm terminates in a finite number of steps.

Here we generalize the notion of a SAGBI basis to arbitrary algebras. Consider an algebra A equipped with a valuation v (with one-dimensional leaves) and with values in an ordered free abelian group Γ.

Definition 6.1. A collection $f_1, \ldots, f_t \in A \setminus \{0\}$ is a SAGBI basis with respect to the valuation v if:

1. $v(f_1) \ldots, v(f_t)$ generate the semigroup $S(A, v) = \{v(f) \mid f \in A \setminus \{0\}\}$.
2. For any $h \in A \setminus \{0\}$ the subduction algorithm terminates.

In the next section we will establish the existence of SAGBI bases for the ring of sections of G-line bundles (equivalently homogeneous coordinate rings) of flag and spherical varieties with respect to certain natural valuations. In the rest of this section we discuss generalities on SAGBI bases and toric degenerations.

First we show that for a graded algebra A the condition (2) in Definition 6.1 (i.e. termination of the subduction algorithm) is automatically satisfied. Let $v : F \setminus \{0\} \to \Gamma$ be a valuation with one-dimensional leaves and $A = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} A_k$ a graded subalgebra, with $A_k \subset F$

---

[3] Since we have used maximum to define v, it is a valuation on $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ in the sense of Definition 1.2 if we consider the reverse of the ordering $<$ on $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

for all k. Moreover, assume that for any $k \geq 0$, $\dim(A_k) < \infty$. Let $\tilde{v} : A \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma$ be the extension of v to A.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $A = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} A_k \subset F[t]$ be a graded subalgebra and assume for any $k \geq 0$, $\dim(A_k) < \infty$. Then a collection $f_1, \ldots, f_t \in A \setminus \{0\}$ is a SAGBI basis with respect to $\tilde{v}$, if $\tilde{v}(f_1), \ldots, \tilde{v}(f_t)$ generate the semigroup*

$$S(A, \tilde{v}) = \{(k, v(f)) \mid f \in A_k \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Proof. We need to show that for any $h \in A$, the subduction algorithm terminates. Let $d = \deg(h)$, $\tilde{v}(h) = (d, v(h_d))$. Since $\tilde{v}$ has one-dimensional leaves we can find $g = h - cf_1^{k_1} \cdots f_t^{k_t}$ with $\tilde{v}(g) > \tilde{v}(h)$. Then $\deg(g) \leq \deg(h)$. It follows that during the process we always remain in $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{d} A_k$. Since this is finite dimensional, $\tilde{v}$ has finite number of values on $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{d} A_k$. Now as $\tilde{v}$ strictly increases, at some point we should arrive at 0 and we are done. $\square$

Let $(k, a) \in S(A)$ and let

$$\mathcal{F}_{(k,a)} = \{f \in A \mid \tilde{v}(f) \geq (k, a) \text{ or } f = 0\}.$$

The subspaces $\mathcal{F}_{(k,a)}$ form an increasing filtration in A, i.e.

Proposition 6.3. (1) *If $(k, a) < (\ell, b)$ then $\mathcal{F}_{(\ell,b)} \subset \mathcal{F}_{(k,a)}$.*

(2) *For any $(k, a), (\ell, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \Gamma$ we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_{(k,a)}\mathcal{F}_{(\ell,b)} \subset \mathcal{F}_{(k+\ell,a+b)}.$$

Let $\mathrm{gr}A$ denote the graded of the algebra A with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$.

Now suppose $F = \mathbb{C}(X)$ is the field of rational functions on a (normal) d-dimensional variety X and v is the valuations with values in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ (with one-dimensional leaves) corresponding to a sequence of subvarieties X_i. Let $A = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} A_k$, $A_k \subset F$, be a subalgebra. Moreover, assume that $S(A, \tilde{v})$ is a finitely generated semigroup.

Proposition 6.4. *The graded algebra $\mathrm{gr}A$ coincides with the semigroup algebra of the semigroup $S = S(A, \tilde{v})$.*

Proof. Let the u_i be the local parameters for the sequence of subvarieties X_i. Then by definition the valuation of the function $u_1^{a_1} \cdots u_d^{a_d}$ is equal to $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_d)$. Let us for short denote the monomial $u_1^{a_1} \cdots u_d^{a_d}$ by u^a. Now let $(k_1, \alpha_1) < (k_2, \alpha_2) \cdots$ be the elements of $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ sorted in increasing order. Put $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}_{k_i,\alpha_i}$. Then

$$\mathrm{gr}A = \bigoplus_{i>1} \mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}.$$

As $\tilde{v}$ has one-dimensional leaves, for each i, $\dim(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) = 1$. Pick an element $f_i \in \mathcal{F}_i \backslash \mathcal{F}_{i-1}$. Then $v(f_i) = (k_i, \alpha_i)$ and moreover the image $[f_i]$ of f_i in $\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ spans this 1-dimensional vector space. By one-dimensional leaves property we can find $c_i \neq 0$ such that $c_i f_i - u^{\alpha_i}$ has bigger valuation than α_i. Put $g_i = c_i f_i \in A_i$. Then for any i, j we have:

$$[g_i g_j] = [g_\ell], \tag{3}$$

where $(k_i, \alpha_i) + (k_j, \alpha_j) = (k_\ell, \alpha_\ell)$. We can now define an isomorphism ψ from $\mathrm{gr}A$ to the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}[S]$ as follows. Any element $H \in \mathrm{gr}A$ ca be uniquely written as $H = \sum e_i[g_i]$ where $e_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $[g_i] \in \mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$. Define

$$\psi(H) = \sum_i e_i(k_i, \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{C}[S].$$

It is straight forward to verify that ψ is one-to-one and onto and is an additive homomorphism. The fact that it is a multiplicative homomorphism follows from the equation (3). $\square$

As in [And10, Proposition 5.1] (and [Cal02] and [A-B04]) one proves that the algebra A can be deformed to $\mathrm{gr}A$:

Theorem 6.5 (Degeneration of graded algebras)**.** *Let $A = \bigoplus_{\geq 0} A_k$, $A_k \subset F$, be as above. Also assume that $S(A, \tilde{v})$ is finitely generated. Then there is a finitely generated, graded, flat $\mathbb{C}[t]$-subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset A[t]$, such that*

1. *$\mathcal{A}/t\mathcal{A} \cong \mathrm{gr}A$, and*
2. *$\mathcal{A}[t^{-1}] \cong A[t, t^{-1}]$ as $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$-algebras.*

Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex rational polyhedral cone such that $C \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^d) = \{0\}$. Also let $\Delta = C \cap (\{1\} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the slice of the cone C at level 1. It is a polytope with rational vertices. The polytope Δ determines a normal projective toric variety together with a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on it.

Now suppose X is a projective variety with a very ample line bundle L and the ring of sections $R(L)$. Fix a valuation v on $\mathbb{C}(X)$ corresponding to a sequence of varieties. Let $A = \bigoplus_{\geq 0} A_k$, $A_k \subset \mathbb{C}(X)$, be a graded algebra. Let $S = S(A, \tilde{v})$ be the value semigroup of S and $C = C(S)$ the cone generated by this semigroup, that is, C is the closure of convex hull of $S \cup \{0\}$.

Corollary 6.6. *Suppose the cone C is a convex rational polyhedral cone and the semigroup S consists of all the integral points in this cone. Under these conditions the variety X (together with L) can be degenerated to the toric variety (together with a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor) corresponding to the Newton-Okounkov polytope $\Delta = \Delta(A, \tilde{v})$. More precisely, there is a family of varieties $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ with a divisorial sheaf $\mathfrak{L}$ such that:*

1. *π is trivial on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with fibre isomorphic to X. Moreover, for each $t \neq 0$, the restriction of $\mathfrak{L}$ to $X_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$ is L.*
2. *Let $X_0 = \pi^{-1}(0)$ be the fibre at 0 and L_0 the restriction of $\mathfrak{L}$ to X_0. Then the pair (X_0, L_0) is isomorphic to the pair of a toric variety with a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor associated to the convex polytope Δ.*

The above corollary is proved in [And10, Section 5] (and also was observed in [K-Kh08, Section 5.6]).

7. Spherical varieties

A G-variety X is called *spherical* if a Borel subgroup B of G has a dense open orbit. Equivalently, X is spherical if for any G-line bundle L the space of sections $H^0(X, L)$ is a multiplicity-free G-module.

Let X be a projective spherical variety of dimension d and L a very ample G-line bundle on X. Equivalently, we can assume that X is a G-invariant projective subvariety of a projective space $\mathbb{P}(V)$ where V is a finite dimensional G-module, and L is the pull-back of the anti-canonical line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on the projective space to X.

In this section we show that the ring of sections of X (equivalently homogeneous coordinate ring of X) has a SAGBI basis for a natural choice of a valuation. This then implies existence of toric degenerations for X.

For each $k \geq 0$, put $R_k = H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$ and let $R = R(L) = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} R_k$ be the ring of sections of L. It is a graded G-algebra. Let us write

$$R = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda^+} R_{k,\lambda},$$

where $R_{k,\lambda}$ is the λ^*-isotypic component of R_k, i.e. the sum of all the copies of the irreducible representation V_λ^* in R_k. As X is spherical, $R_{k,\lambda} = V_\lambda^*$ or $\{0\}$, for any (k, λ). Consider the convex set:

$$\mu(X, L) = \overline{\mathrm{conv}(\bigcup_{k>0} \{\lambda/k \mid R_{k,\lambda} \neq \{0\}\})}.$$

One shows that $\mu(X, L)$ is a convex polytope (see [Br87]). It is called the *moment polytope of the G-variety X*. It can be identified with the image of the moment map of X (regarded as a Hamiltonian space for the action of a maximal compact subgroup of G) intersected with the positive Weyl chamber.

Generalizing the cases of toric and flag varieties one constructs a convex polytope $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(X, L)$ lying over the moment polytope $\mu(X, L)$ such that the degree of the line bundle L (i.e. the self-intersection index of the divisor class of L) is equal to $d!\mathrm{Vol}_d(\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(X, L))$ (see [Ok97, A-B04]).

The polytope $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(X, L)$ is defined as

$$\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(X, L) = \bigcup_{\lambda\in\mu(X,L)} (\lambda, \Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)).$$

That is, the polytope $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(X, L)$ is the polytope fibred over the moment polytope $\mu(X, L)$ with the fibre over a point $\lambda \in \mu(X, L)$ being the string polytope $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)$. (Note that $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)$ is defined for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^+$.)

In this section we show that the polytopes $\mu(X, L)$ and $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(X, L)$ can be realized as the Newton-Okounkov polytopes of for natural valuations on the ring $R(L)$.

Consider the lexicographic order on the weight lattice Λ, as in Remark 5.3, corresponding to a basis $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n \in \Lambda^+$, where $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_r$ are the fundamental weights.

As usual extend this ordering to $\mathbb{Z} \times \Lambda$ by defining $(k, \lambda) > (\ell, \gamma)$ if $k < \ell$ or $k = \ell$ and $\lambda > \gamma$. Let $f \in R$ and write $f = \sum_{(k,\gamma)} f_{k,\gamma}$, where $f_{k,\gamma} \in R_{k,\gamma}$.

Definition 7.1. Define

$$\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}}(f) = \max\{(k, \lambda) \mid f_{d,\lambda} \neq 0\}.$$

(Note that we used maximum instead of minimum.)

Proposition 7.2. *$\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}}$ is a valuation on A with values in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \Lambda^+$ (which may not have one-dimensional leaves).*

Proof. It is straight forward to check that $\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}}$ is a pre-valuation. So we are required only to prove that for all $0 \neq f, g \in R(L)$, $\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}}(fg) = \tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}}(f) + \tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}}(g)$. We need the following lemma. Let λ, μ be dominant weights. One knows that $V_\lambda \otimes V_\mu$ contains $V_{\lambda+\mu}$ with multiplicity 1.

Lemma 7.3. *The complement of $V_{\lambda+\mu}$ in $V_\lambda \otimes V_\mu$ contains no pure tensors, i.e. it contains no elements of the form $f \otimes g$, $f \in V_\lambda$, $g \in V_\mu$.*

Proof. Let C be the complement of $V_{\lambda+\mu}$ in $V_\lambda \otimes V_\mu$ and let P be the set of all pure tensors in $V_\lambda \otimes V_\mu$. Both P and C are closed, G-invariant and closed under scalar multiplication and hence same is true for $P \cap C$. Thus the image of $P \cap C$ in $\mathbb{P}(V_\lambda \otimes V_\mu)$ is a projective G-subvariety. Thus it contains a closed G-orbit which necessarily is isomorphic to a (partial) flag variety. It follows that $P \cap C$ should contain a highest weight vector. But the only highest weight vectors in P are of the form $v_\lambda \otimes v_\mu$ which is a highest weight vector with highest weight $\lambda+\mu$. This would imply that C contains a copy of $V_{\lambda+\mu}$ which is not possible. It proves that $C \cap P = \{0\}$. $\square$

Let $k, \ell \geq 0$ be integers. For dominant weights λ, μ let $0 \neq f \in R_{k,\lambda^*}$ and $0 \neq g \in R_{\ell,\mu^*}$. From definition $\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}}(f) = (k, \lambda)$ and $\tilde{v}(g) = (\ell, \mu)$. It is enough to show $\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}}(fg) = (k+\ell, \lambda+\mu)$. Let us write $fg = h = \sum_{(s,\gamma)} h_\gamma$ where $h_\gamma \in R_{k+\ell,\gamma}$. From definition of the ordering on Λ it follows that if $h_\gamma \neq 0$ then $\gamma \geq \lambda+\mu$. Thus we need only to prove that $h_{\lambda+\mu} \neq 0$. Since X is spherical we can identify $R_{k,\lambda}$ (respectively $R_{\ell,\mu}$) with V_{λ^*} (respectively V_{μ^*}). Let $w_\lambda \in V_{\lambda^*}$, $w_\mu \in V_{\mu^*}$ be the images of f, g. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V_{\lambda^*} \times V_{\mu^*} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & V_{\lambda^*} \otimes V_{\mu^*} \arrow[d, "p"] \\
R_{k,\lambda} \times R_{\ell,\mu} \arrow[r] & R_{k+\ell}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the lower horizontal arrow is multiplication in $R(L)$ and the p is the natural projection from the tensor product to $R_{k,\lambda} R_{\ell,\mu} \subset R_{k+\ell}$. Now by Lemma 7.3 the vector $w_\lambda \otimes w_\mu \in V_{\lambda^*} \otimes V_{\mu^*}$ is not contained in the complement of $V_{\lambda^*+\mu^*}$, and hence $p(w_\lambda \otimes w_\mu)$ is not zero. Since the diagram is commutative, this implies that the component $h_{\lambda+\mu}$ is nonzero. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 7.4. (1) *We have*

$$S(R, \tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}}) = \{(k, \lambda) \mid R_{k,\lambda} \neq \{0\}\}.$$

(2) *If moreover we assume that X is normal then:*

$$S(R, \tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}}) = \{(k, \lambda) \mid \lambda \in k\mu(X, L) \cap \Lambda^+\}.$$

That is, the value semigroup of $R = R(L)$ and $\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}}$ is the semigroup of all the integral points in the cone over the moment polytope $\mu(X, L)$.

Proof. 1) Follows from definition of $\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}}$. 2) Since X is assumed normal, by a theorem of Brion (see [Br89]), we know

$$H^0(X, L^{\otimes k}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in k\mu(X,L) \cap \Lambda^+} V_\lambda^*.$$

Now 2) follows from 1). $\square$

From Proposition 7.4(1) we readily obtain:

Corollary 7.5. *The moment polytope $\mu(X, L)$ coincides with the Newton-Okounkov body $\Delta(R(L), \tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}})$.*

Remark 7.6. The valuation $\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}}$ on R gives a valuation v_{wt} on $\mathbb{C}(X)$ with values in Λ^+ as follows. Let $h \in \mathbb{C}(X)$. Since L is very ample, one can find $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$, for some k, such that $h = \sigma_1/\sigma_2$. Let $\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}}(\sigma_i) = (k, \lambda_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Define $v_{\mathrm{wt}}(h) = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$. As $\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}}$ is a valuation on R, one verifies that v_{wt} is well-defined and is a valuation on $\mathbb{C}(X)$ with values in Λ^+.

Finally we extend $\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}}$ to a valuation with one-dimensional leaves. Fix a reduced decomposition for the longest element $\underline{w}_0 = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_N})$, $w_0 = s_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdots s_{\alpha_{i_N}}$. Recall that $v_{\underline{w}_0}$ denotes the valuation on the field $\mathbb{C}(G/B)$ as well as on the irreducible representations

$H^0(G/B, L_\lambda) \cong V_\lambda^*$, constructed from the sequence of Schubert varieties associated to the reduced decomposition $\underline{w}_0$.

Let $f \in R$ and write $f = \sum_{(k,\gamma)} f_{k,\gamma}$ with $f_{k,\gamma} \in R_{k,\gamma}$. Let $\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{wt}} = (s, \lambda)$.

Definition 7.7. Define the valuation $\tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0}$ on R and with values in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \Lambda^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$ by

$$\tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0}(f) = (s, \lambda, v_{\underline{w}_0}(f_{s,\lambda})).$$

Proposition 7.8. *$\tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0}$ is a valuation on $R(L)$ with one-dimensional leaves.*

Proof. That $\tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0}$ is a valuation is straight forward. We need to show that it has one-dimensional leaves. For $f, g \in R$ let $\tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0}(f) = \tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0}(g) = (s, \lambda, a)$. Write $f = \sum_{(k,\gamma) f_{k,\gamma}}$ and $g = \sum_{(\ell,\mu)} g_{\ell,\mu}$ with $f_{k,\gamma} \in R_{k,\gamma}$ and $g_{\ell,\mu} \in R_{\ell,\mu}$. Then $a = v_{\underline{w}_0}(f_{s,\lambda}) = v_{\underline{w}_0}(g_{s,\lambda})$. Since $v_{\underline{w}_0}$ has one-dimensional leaves then there is $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $v_{\underline{w}_0}(f_{s,\lambda} - cg_{s,\lambda}) > a$ or $f_{s,\lambda} - cg_{s,\lambda} = 0$. It then easily follows that $\tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0}(f - cg) > (s, \lambda, a)$ which proves the proposition. □

Now, let us assume that X is a normal projective spherical variety. In this case the semigroup $S = S(R(L), \tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0})$ associated to the algebra $R(L)$ and the valuation $\tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0}$ coincides with the semigroup of all the integral points in a certain cone:

Theorem 7.9. *We have:*

$$S = \{(k, \lambda, a) \mid \lambda \in k\mu(X, L) \cap \Lambda^+, \ a \in \Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)\}.$$

In other words, S is the semigroup of all the integral points in the convex rational polyhedral cone $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}(X, L) = \{(k, \lambda, a) \mid \lambda \in k\mu(X, L), \ a \in \Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)\}$. It is the cone fibred over the cone constructed over the moment polytope $\mu(X, L)$ and with string polytopes $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)$ as fibres.

Proof. From from Proposition 7.4, Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 3.3. □

We then immediately obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 7.10. *The polytope $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(X, L)$ coincides with the Newton-Okounkov body $\Delta(R(L), \tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0})$.*

Corollary 7.11. *The ring of sections $R(L)$ has a SAGBI basis with respect to the valuation $\tilde{v}_{\underline{w}_0}$.*

Proof. Follows from Theorem 7.9 and Proposition 6.2. Note that the semigroup of all the integral points in a rational convex polyhedral cone is finitely generated (Gordon's lemma). □

Corollary 7.12. *The projective spherical G-variety X together with the G-linearized line bundle L can be degenerated to the toric variety (together with a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor) corresponding to the (rational) polytope $\Delta_{\underline{w}_0}(X, L)$.*

Proof. Follows directly from Theorem 7.9 and Corollary 6.6. □

References

[A-B04] Alexeev, V.; Brion, M. *Toric degeneration of spherical varities.* Selecta Math. (N.S.) 10 (2004), no. 4, 453–478.

[And10] Anderson, D. *Okounkov bodies and toric degenerations.* arXiv:1001.4566v1.

[B-Z88] Berenstein, A. D.; Zelevinsky, A. V. *Tensor product multiplicities and convex polytopes in partition space.* J. Geom. Phys. 5 (1988), no. 3, 453–472.

[B-Z01] Berenstein, A.; Zelevinsky, A. *Tensor product multiplicities, canonical bases and totally positive varieties.* Invent. Math. 143 (2001), no. 1, 77–128.

[Br87] Brion, M. *Sur l'image de l'application moment.* Sminaire d'algbre Paul Dubreil et Marie-Paule Malliavin (Paris, 1986), 177–192, Lecture Notes in Math., 1296, Springer, Berlin, 1987.

[Br89] Brion, M. *Groupe de Picard et nombres caracteristiques des varieties spheriques.* Duke Math. J. 58 (1989), no. 2, 397–424.

[Cal02] Caldero, P. *Toric degenerations of Schubert varieties.* Transform. Groups 7 (2002), no. 1, 51–60.

[G-C50] Gelfand, I.M.; Cetlin, M.L. *Finite dimensional representations of the group of unimodular matrices*, Doklady Akad. Nauk USSR (N.S.) ,71 , (1950), 825–828.

[Kash90] Kashiwara, M. *Crystalizing the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras.* Comm. Math. Phys. 133 (1990), no. 2, 249260.

[Kash93] Kashiwara, M. *The crystal base and Littelmann's refined Demazure character formula.* Duke Math. J. Volume 71, Number 3 (1993), 839-858.

[Kav05] Kaveh, K. *SAGBI bases and degeneration of spherical varieties to toric varieties.* Michigan Math. J. 53 (2005), no. 1, 109–121.

[K-Kh08] Kaveh, K.; Khovanskii, A. G. *Convex bodies and algebraic equations on affine varieties.* Preprint: arXiv:0804.4095v1. A short version with title *Algebraic equations and convex bodies* to appear in *Perspectives in Analysis, Topology and Geometry*, Birkhäser series Progress in Mathematics.

[K-Kh09] Kaveh, K.; Khovanskii, A. G. *Newton-Okounkov bodies, semigroups of integral points, graded algebras and intersection theory.* Preprint: arXiv:0904.3350v1

[Kh77] Khovanskii, A. G. *Newton polyhedra, and toroidal varieties.* Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. 11 (1977), no. 4, 56–64, 96.

[Kh78] Khovanskii, A. G. *Newton polyhedra, and the genus of complete intersections.* Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 12 (1978), no. 1, 51–61.

[Kir09] Kiritchenko, V. *GelfandZetlin polytopes and flag varieties.* International Mathematics Research Notices Advance published online (2009).

[L-M08] Lazarsfeld, R.; Mustata, M. *Convex bodies associated to linear series.* Ann. Sci. c. Norm. Supr. (4) 42 (2009), no. 5, 783–835.

[Litt98] Littelmann, P. *Cones, crystals, and patterns.* Transform. Groups 3 (1998), no. 2, 145–179.

[Ok96] Okounkov, A. *Brunn-Minkowski inequality for multiplicities.* Invent. Math. 125 (1996), no. 3, 405–411.

[Ok97] Okounkov, A. *A remark on the Hilbert polynomial of a spherical variety.* Func. Anal. and Appl., 31 (1997), 82–85.

[Ok98] Okounkov, A. *Multiplicities and Newton polytopes.* Kirillov's seminar on representation theory, 231–244, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 181, , Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[Ok03] Okounkov, A. *Why would multiplicities be log-concave?* The orbit method in geometry and physics (Marseille, 2000), 329–347, Progr. Math., 213, Birkha"user Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.

[Rei03] Reichstein, Z. *SAGBI bases in rings of multiplicative invariants.* Comment. Math. Helv. 78 (2003), no. 1, 185–202.

Kiumars Kaveh
Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh
Pittsburgh, PA, 15260
Email address: kaveh@pitt.edu